

Project No. 609687 FP7-ENERGY-2013-IRP

ELECTRA

European Liaison on Electricity Committed Towards long-term Research Activities for Smart Grids

WP 4 Fully Interoperable Systems

Deliverable D4.3

Existing standards and Gap analysis for the proposed frequency and voltage control solutions

20/01/2017

Short description (Max. 50 words):

This deliverable examines the landscape of ICT standards for Smart Grid, proposing a methodology for improving their evaluation and classification. Starting from this approach, then it examines the use cases proposed by the D4.2, identifies the standards for their information and communication layers and applies to them the evaluation methodology.

Authors

Copyright

© Copyright 2013-2017 The ELECTRA Consortium

Consisting of:

This document may not be copied, reproduced, or modified in whole or in part for any purpose without written permission from the ELECTRA Consortium. In addition to such written permission to copy, reproduce, or modify this document in whole or part, an acknowledgment of the authors of the document and all applicable portions of the copyright notice must be clearly referenced.

All rights reserved.

This document may change without notice

Executive summary

The aim of this deliverable is to support the future implementation of the ELECTRA use cases, identifying the information and communication layer standards that can sustain the information exchange between the actors involved in these use cases.

The starting points for this work are: a common **definition** of the word "standard" (which sometimes is used as tautology, calling standards each specification its producer asserts to be a standard), the identification of the main **resources** for finding the needed standards and of a **methodology** for executing this mapping. What resulted from this process was that, even if a very good analysis of the European landscape of SG standards is provided from the Smart Grid Coordination Group (which involves CEN, CENELEC and ETSI), this work is not thought for designers defining SG use cases. So, a different approach for complementing the SGCG from the point of view of the designer is needed.

On this basis, a methodology was defined and a tool was implemented with the aim of providing a more rich set of information about the standards to the designers, so that they can be aware of the features of these standards before deciding if it is the one they need. This tool was the basis for providing the ELECTRA designer with:

- Tables showing the features of the standards that map their informative exchange needs,
- An assessment of them, enabling the understanding of its strengths and weakness.

The second part of the work illustrated in this deliverable is the analysis of the use cases provided by D4.2 for defining the information and communication layers of their SGAM modelling (starting from the function layer and making hypotheses on the component one).

The standards identified by this analysis were examined by the tool and the results of this assessment are provided in an appendix attached to this document.

Terminologies

Definitions

Abbreviations

Table of contents

List of figures and tables

Figure 59 - [SGAM Information Layer for PVC and proactive PPVC use cases -](#page-124-0) assignment of [possible standards for information objects transmitted between components](#page-124-0) 125 Figure 60 - [SGAM Communication Layer for PVC and proactive PPVC use cases -](#page-126-0) assignment of [possible standards for communication solutions between components.......................................](#page-126-0) 127

1 Introduction: the role of Interoperability standards in the Smart Grid

Interoperability plays a key role in Smart Grid design processes. Indeed, as more and more ICT components are being connected to the physical electrical infrastructure, interoperability is a key requirement for a robust, reliable and secure Smart Grid infrastructure. Although the majority of Smart Grid equipment is based on (inter)national or local standards, this has not yet resulted in an interoperable Smart Grid infrastructure [3]. For achieving Smart Grid system interoperability there is the need of system specifications, use of standards and testing under applications of profiles.

Thus, adoption of standards represents an efficient contribution towards interoperability issues since:

- A standard exists and, when released, is deemed mature and the user can adopt it without re-inventing the wheel. This implies reduction of costs for design, development and maintenance of applications;
- A standard provides guidelines and reference models well tested in the reference domain;
- When a new stakeholder wants to take part to the communication there is little additional cost.

On the other hand, the use of standards does not assure interoperability. Indeed [4]:

- Often, specifications allow for some degrees of freedom that cause ambiguity and redundancy in the exchanged data;
- Often, specifications only cover a part of the requirements (basing on the Pareto rule);
- Usually standardization processes are slow and requirements evolve rapidly;
- A standard can be an effective tool for interoperability only when its adoption reaches a critical mass, so that new users are sure that by adopting it they are able to communicate in interoperable way with other actors.

Relating to the last issue, it is important to note that the huge set of available standards could be a strong barrier in the achievement of the critical mass. [Figure 1](#page-12-0) shows the issues that can hinder interoperability when using standards.

Three kinds of barriers can be identified:

- 1. Lacking of suitable standards: indeed standardization needs long time and it is not able to meet quickly the requirements;
- 2. Use of different standards for the same transaction: this implies that different standards are available and no one of them is adopted diffusely enough to become the reference one;
- 3. Use of the same standard in different way: this is typically due to huge flexibility and ambiguity of specifications.

Figure 1 - Issues that can hinder Interoperability

In particular, in the Smart Grid context, this problem is amplified by the huge set of standards already existing (and in continuous expansion by the work of various technical groups all over the world). The Smart Grid Coordination Group (SGCG) has identified, at the moment, a list of 538 standards potentially useful in Smart Grid applications and this list is said not to be exhaustive¹.

So, the aim of this deliverable is to help ELECTRA in defining the set of ICT standards for exchange of information within the Use Cases defined in ELECTRA D4.2 and to identify, if any, possible standard gaps for these use cases.

More in details, the document has the following structure:

- In the first part (["The EAT-SGIS tool"](#page-13-0)) the general problem of managing the huge set of ICT Interoperability standards for the Smart Grids will be analysed and the design and development of a tool for helping the use case designer in this task will be shown.
- In the second part ("Standards for the use cases"), the analysis of ELECTRA Use Cases for finding the needed ICT Interoperability standard will be faced.
- In the last part ("Future perspectives related to ICT solution applicable to the Smart Grid domain") some ideas about these future perspectives are outlined.
- In the Appendix ("List of standards"), the list of standards evaluated using the previous tools will be reported. The complete Appendix containing the evaluation schedules will be provided separately for space reason.

[.] 1 "The standards listed in this report represent a selection according to the rules set in section 6.2.1 and 760 6.2.2. The list is not comprehensive" page 20 of [9]

2 The EAT-SGIS tool

2.1 The landscape of European ICT standards for the Smart Grids

2.1.1 Definition of "Standard"

The basic definition of the word "standard" is provided by ISO: "a document that provides requirements, specifications, guidelines or characteristics that can be used consistently to ensure that materials, products, processes and services are fit for their purpose" [5].

Unfortunately the previous definition would involve a lot of so called *de-facto* or industry standards, which often are proprietary specifications, never approved by official recognized Standard Development Organizations (SDOs). This recognition is really important, since SDOs are third parties without personal or economic interests in the definition of a standard and their involvement assure the openness of the standardization process and avoid vendor lock-in of the users.

Therefore, the definition can be restricted specifying that a set of specifications, to be recommended as a standard, has to have the following features:

- Recognized processes for the definition of the specifications in the context of a standardization body;
- Public (even if available for a fee), formal and not ambiguous description of the specifications;
- Auto-consistency (in particular it is not dependent on proprietary elements);
- Stakeholders' (full) consensus.

In any case some specification covering the listed features only in a partial manner could be considered for example, if the specifications are under development or if the specifications were built by an organization that is not a standardization body, but is planning to put them in a formal process of standardization in a standardization organization, but this has to be explicated.

2.1.2 From the M490 Mandate to the SGCG

In March 2011, the European Commission emitted a Standardization Mandate European Standardisation Organisations (ESOs) to support European Smart Grid deployment. This mandate is known as M490 and had the objective "to develop or update a set of consistent standards within a common European framework that integrating a variety of digital computing and communication technologies and electrical architectures, and associated processes and services, that will achieve interoperability and will enable or facilitate the implementation in Europe of the different high level Smart Grid services and functionalities…" [6].

For answering to this mandate, the three European reference SDOs (CEN, CENELEC and ETSI) constituted the Smart Grid Coordination Group (SGCG).

The result of the SGCG group work is a set of document which contains among other things:

- A reference architecture, called **Smart Grid Architecture Model (SGAM)** [7];
- A set of reference standards, released in a first version [8] and in a final version [9];
- A tool delivered as an Excel sheet (**IOP tool**) that includes the list of **538** standards potentially useful in Smart Grid applications.

In particular, in the IOP tool, each standard is described by its acronym, title and short abstract and each standard is located within SGAM architecture.

More specifically, for each standard the tool not only indicates SGAM interoperability layers, domains and zones but, also, crosscutting topics (i.e., data modelling, telecommunication, security, connecting DER, power quality, functional safety) and testing issues. Moreover, some useful filters in this Excel tool are available that enable the user to find standards which fulfil the chosen filters. At present, the tool contains 342 and 177 standards that can be placed on the Communication and Information Interoperability layers, respectively.

Figure 2 - Layout of the IOP Tool

Moreover, the other SGCG results are the following reports²:

- Applying, testing and refining the Smart Grid Architecture Model;
- Overview of SG-CG Methodologies;
- Methodologies to facilitate Smart Grid system interoperability through standardization, system design and testing;
- Smart Grid Information Security.

2.2 The problem of standards evaluation and classification

2.2.1 Use of SGCG results from the use case designer point of view

As seen in the previous paragraph, the SGCG results provide a lot of information to navigate the huge set of SG interoperability standards, but these instruments were created having as target the standardization community. An interesting question is how they can be used by an ELECTRA (and more general, by Smart Grid) designer for understanding what standards can satisfy the needs of a use case.

So, let us consider the following situation: a designer, involved in the implementation of a Smart Grid system use case, wants to identify the information layer standards more suited to satisfy its needs, using, as reference, mainly the SGCG "set of standards" document.

EXECUTE:
The reports can be found here:

<http://www.cencenelec.eu/standards/Sectors/SustainableEnergy/SmartGrids/Pages/default.aspx>

Figure 3 - The ideal path from Use Case to Standard choice

For examining the problem the "Flexible load" use case, provided within the SGAM toolbox documentation [10], will be used. This use case involves a user equipped with a Combined Heat and Power Plant (CHP), a Heat Pump (HP) and storage for thermal energy. If the user uses the CHP, he/she produces both electric and thermal energy and this reduces the load to the distribution system. If the user uses the Heat Pump, he/she consumes electricity for generating thermal energy, so this increases the load of the distribution system. So, in this use case, the Distribution System Operator wants to use this potential flexibility, offering dynamic prices to the user, valid for a 24 hour window.

In the example documentation, UML diagrams and SGAM mapping can be found. What is interesting, some information exchanges are identified (see Figure $4)^3$ for the SGAM Information layer. The involved actors are:

- Distribution Management System (DMS): a system which provides applications to monitor and control a distribution grid from a centralized location, typically the control centre;
- Energy Management System (EMS): a facility specific system that is operating various energy consuming or producing systems in the domain of the facility.

 3 Please note that, if we want to look at the SGAM communication layer, we should look also at the Component layer diagram

Figure 4 - Sequence diagram for the Operation plan Primary Use case, within the Flexible Load use case

Some more detailed information about the exchanged messages can be found in the following table:

Table 1 - Information objects for the previous sequence diagram

The second kind of information exchange (Energy Price Table) is really complex, from the point of view of the standard selection. Indeed, using SGCG resources for finding standards applicable for this information exchange, this is what can be found:

 Consulting the "SGCG Set of standard document", the use case nearest to our needs (exchange of Price table between DMS and the EMS of a flexible user) seems to be the primary use case called "Receiving metrological or price information for further action by consumer or CEM" in the use case cluster "Demand and production (generation) flexibility". For it, as can be seen in table 10 on page 36 of the SGCG document (see figure below), both Information and Communication level standards are categorized as "coming" ones:

Figure 5 - According to this table, the needed standards are not yet available

But, reading the rest of the text, it cannot be understood which standards, among the mentioned ones, are the coming standards for the referred use case.

So it is necessary to take a look at the list of selected standards for searching information about each of them and understanding which of them can be used for the aims of the analysed use case.

At the end of this complex analysis, the standards that need to be better studied for understanding if they can enable the needed exchange are those in [Table 2.](#page-17-1)

Table 2 - Standard identified looking at SGCG "set of standards" document for the analysed use case

- Using the SGCG Interoperability IOP tool: as seen in paragraph [2.1.2,](#page-13-3) the IOP tool is an Excel sheet which lists the SG interoperability standards identified by the SGCG. The tool has some filters which can be used for narrowing down the search. In this case, selecting:
	- o the Information layer;

 4 STARGRID Smart Grid Standardisation Information Tool, http://stargrid.iwes.fraunhofer.de/#/page/document?id=IEC_61968

o the Market Zone;

the resulting list presents 18 standards [\(Figure 6\)](#page-18-0). Reading the short description columns, it results that some of them are clearly out of scope (for example IETF RFC 3584, which purpose is to describe coexistence between version 3 and 2 of the Internet Standard Network Management i.e. the coexistence between SNMPv3, SNMPv2 and SNMPv1 protocols or IETF RFC 4789, which specifies how SNMP messages can be transmitted over IEEE 802 networks). For most of them it is not so clear if they are within the scope. One of them seems more near to our needs (for example IEC 62325, CIM extension for markets, which, moreover, is the only one with a cross in the "data model" column of cross-cutting issues).

Figure 6 - Use of the IOP tool for the selected use case

The second selection could be made removing the market layer filter and inserting a filter on "Function specific and other systems" in the column Market – General. In this way 14 standards are selected (10 in common with the previous selection).

At least three of the additional four specifications seem really interesting: IEC 61968 (which represents a group of standards for information exchanges between electrical distribution systems), IEC 61970 (which represents standards of API for EMS) and ENTSO-E Market Data Exchange.

So, combining the two SGCG sources some potentially useful standards can be identified, but in order to understand if they are really the needed ones other information is required: further analysis is necessary and considering that many of this specifications are available only against payment, further search on the Web is needed (hoping to find the required information).

Moreover, some other specifications could be used for expressing the tariffs: SEP and OpenADR. Moreover, there is also the OASIS EMIX specification which could be used for the same aim. This is not amazing, since the "SGCG set of standards" document explicitly admits to be not exhaustive. Moreover, these are specifications born in the USA and still not standardized in Europe. More in details:

SEP is the **Smart Energy Profile**, developed by ZigBee Smart Alliance. SEP 2.0 (that is IEEE P2030.5) "provides the guidelines in which the devices should communicate with one another. It defines various device properties that can be manipulated. […] A metering system, or **pricing system**, is an example of an application-specific function set" [13]. Its last version is the 2.0. Moreover, SEP 2 differs from OpenADR since "SEP2 is focused on Home Area Network Devices (HAN) while OpenADR is designed for high level communications between the AMI Systems, utilities communications networks and ISOs⁵". In any case, it is interesting to note that SEP declares explicitly that M/441 of European Commission has been taken into account in the definition of its specification [14] .

- **OpenADR** is also a USA standard (developed by Open ADR Alliance on the base of OASIS Energy Interoperation Standard) and it is not still standardized by official SOs. In any case its developers are in contact with IEC and its standardization is going on, as can be read in the OpenADR Alliance web site news [15].
- **EMIX** is a standard developed by OASIS and also this one is based in the USA [16]. Moreover it is (just) mentioned by the "SGCG set of standards" document about DER EMS and VPP market operation.

Trying to compare the found standards the following results have been got:

Table 3 - Example of comparison among identified standards

 $\overline{}$ ⁵ ISO is the USA terms for referring to Independent System Operators, which are organizations that "operates a region's electricity grid, administers the region's wholesale electricity markets, and provides reliability planning for the region's bulk electricity system" [\(https://pure.ltu.se/portal/files/37136211/CoAP_SEP2_final.pdf\)](https://pure.ltu.se/portal/files/37136211/CoAP_SEP2_final.pdf)

So, after a first analysis, it could make sense to use EMIX (which is, to some extent, considered in the "SGCG set of standards") or the SEP 2.0, if we prefer to maintain the reference to CIM.

2.2.2 Some considerations

Looking at the development of this simple example, some remarks on the use of the SGCG resources for the selection of standards can be formulated (from the use case designer point of view):

- The use cases presented in the "SGCG set of standards" document [9] are really general and high level ones, so the use case under development could not be found inside the "set of standards"
	- o This can imply the need for understanding how much the "SGCG set of standards" use cases could be used as reference for the use case under development;
- It is not so easy to map requirement from a use case on "set of standards" structure
- Once the list of suggested standards for the use case has been identified, it is not certain that the standards that satisfy the initial requirement (for example the exchange of a certain kind of information) are directly linked in the "SGCG set of standards". So extra-knowledge about the standards is needed;
- The IOP tool can help in this work but the information provided by this excel sheet about the content of a standard is too scarce for representing the definitive solution;
- This extra-knowledge could be already owned by a designer who is analysing the use case. But considering that more than 400 standards are listed in the document, it is possible that the use case designer does not know the standards (in particular, in our task, the figure of the Expert of certain Standards could be absent). So this information has to be searched. But:
	- \circ a lot of them are available only against payment;
	- o if the specifications are not available (for example, being not free, one would prefer to understand if they are the right ones, before buying them), it is not obvious that the needed information for understanding if the standards are not the right one should be find in the Web;
	- \circ specifications are long, complex and the needed information could be drowned within them;
- Standards not mentioned in the SGCG resources could be useful for our aims (especially when the official ones are not yet available, this choice could be better than using a proprietary format). So, further information about them would be useful.

A different approach for complementing the SGCG from the point of view of the designer would be really necessary. The idea is to be able to provide a more rich set of information about the standards to the designers so they can be aware of the features of these standards before deciding if they are really needed. At this aim it would be useful to be able to provide for the designers:

- a table showing the features of a standard;
- an assessment of the standard enabling the understanding of its strengths and weakness (and the comparison among different standards in a uniform way).

In order to fulfil this requirement the problems of ICT standards assessment, of designing and development of a tool for executing this assessment and also of collecting features of the assessed ICT standards were faced in this task.

2.2.3 Parameters for ICT standard assessment: general considerations

Some parameters could be observed for each standard for evaluating how much the previous problems could affect a specification.

Figure 7 - Parameters for assessment of standards from the Interoperability point of view

Table 4 - List of the parameters for assessing the ICT standards from the Interoperability point of view

The relation between the problems identified in [Figure 1](#page-12-0) (- [Issues that can hinder Interoperability\)](#page-12-1) and parameters in [Figure 7](#page-22-1) are highlighted in the following figure:

Figure 8 - Relation about issues and parameters

2.3 Design and development of the EAT-SGIS tool

2.3.1 Search for a reference method: CAMSS

It would be useful to have a reference method for assessing and classifying the ICT interoperability standards. For this aim, a set of Maturity Models was examined. Among them were the Enterprise Interoperability Maturity Model [19] and the Smart Grid Interoperability Maturity Model (SGIMM) [20], but this kind of methodologies seems more suited for evaluating complete interoperability frameworks and the use of standards is considered only as an element for this evaluation.

Fortunately, a specific method exists for assessment of ICT standards. It is called **CAMSS (Common Assessment Method for Standards and Specification)** and is born from an initiative of the European Commission's IDABC programme⁶ to "initiate, support and coordinate the collaboration between volunteer Member States in defining a Common Assessment Method for Standards and Specifications and to share the assessment study results for the development of eGovernment services" [21].

CAMSS was developed for responding to:

- The decision No. 922/2009/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, in particular about articles 3(a) ("the establishment and improvement of common frameworks in support of cross-border and cross-sectorial interoperability") and 4 ((a) "technological neutrality and adaptability;" (b) "openness;" and (c) "reusability;") [22];
- The action 23 of the Digital Agenda ("Provide guidance on ICT standardization and public procurement") [23].

So the CAMSS is thought to be a tool for Public Administration choices of standards to be adopted (especially for **e-government** and **e-procurement**) and so it offers **a step-by-step process** in which the assessment of the standard is submitted, a panel of experts is defined and the experts who execute the assessment are selected.

What is interesting for the T4.3 aims is that CAMSS defines an evaluation schema for the standards and an Excel tool for executing this evaluation.

The evaluation schema considers a set of categories for evaluating the standard. The categories are the following:

- 1. Applicability
- 2. Maturity
- 3. Openness
- 4. Intellectual property rights (IPR)
- 5. Market support
- 6. Potential
- 7. Coherence

Each of them is split in subcategories as shown by [Figure 9.](#page-28-0)

 6 IDABC stands for Interoperable Delivery of European eGovernment Services to public Administrations, Business and Citizens and is a Community programme managed by the European Commission's Directorate-General for Informatics [47].

Figure 9 - Categories and subcategories for assessment of standards according to CAMSS

The core of the excel tool is a questionnaire which asks, for each subcategory, a set of questions (see [Figure 10\)](#page-29-0) and, at the end, shows the summary of the assessment [21].

Figure 10 - A part of the screen from the questionnaire in the CAMSS Excel tool

Figure 11 - The final Assessment calculated on the base of answers in the questionnaire

In [Figure 11,](#page-29-1) the final assessment screen is shown. The **score** is calculated for each category as the ratio of number of "yes" and the number of the total number of defined ("yes" and "no") answers. So, the "not applicable" answers do not contribute to this calculation. Moreover, the **strength** of the evaluation is calculated as the ratio of the number of answered questions and the total number of questions. For example, in the figure above the usability has a score equal to 67%, but the number of answered questions is only 3 of the 8 available questions, so the assessment in not so strong (38%). Both of them (score and strength) are shown in the graph. Moreover, the input of two different assessments is allowed.

The Proposal of classification is not automatically defined and can be inserted choosing one of the following values:

- Discarded
- **Observed**

- Accepted
- Recommended
- Mandatory

Unfortunately, being designed for e-government and not for Smart Grid context, CAMSS cannot be used "as is" for the aim of this task. But it provides a strong reference for developing a specific methodology and a corresponding tool for ELECTRA aims.

2.3.2 Adaptation of CAMSS methodology for T4.3 aims

The elements of CAMSS that can be adapted for the ELECTRA T4.3 are:

- The evaluation method/questionnaire: this adaptation requires a check of the categories, subcategories and of the questionnaire for understanding what can be used as it is and what needs to be modified.
- The use of an excel tool for collecting the information about the standards and for having a uniform assessment template.

The advantages of the use of CAMSS are the following:

- we do not have to re-invent the wheel,
- the assessment of the standards will be made on the basis of a tested and strong reference model and the result will be, as much as possible, uniform with a European level defined method.

The first needed step is to understand how the initially proposed set of parameters (see paragraph [2.2.3\)](#page-22-0) can be mapped on the CAMSS set of categories. [Figure 12](#page-31-0) shows a comparison between CAMSS categories/subcategories and the initially proposed possible set of parameters of a standard from interoperability point of view.

Figure 12 - Comparison between CAMSS Assessment and initially proposed parameters

In the following table [\(Table 5\)](#page-31-1) a look is taken to the map between these two sets of parameters, in order to understand if something is still lacking in the CAMSS model:

On the base of the previous considerations, in the following table [\(Table 6\)](#page-35-0) the original questionnaire for CAMSS is adapted for ELECTRA.

The information about the CAMSS original questionnaire is available there:

<https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/community/camss/wiki/camss-05-detailed-camss-criteria>

Further on, considering that the aim of T4.3 is not only assessment but also collection of Smart Grid interoperability standards, the adapted ELECTRA tool should give in output a complete schedule about it: some additional fields with information that will be added in the schedule have to be inserted.

Special attention has to be paid to the coherence concept used in CAMSS. It is defined by the following sentence: "The technical specifications are coherent as they do not conflict with European standards, that is to say they cover domains where the adoption of new European standards is not foreseen within a reasonable period, where existing standards have not gained market uptake or where these standards have become obsolete, and where the transposition of the technical specifications into European standardization deliverables is not foreseen within a reasonable period." [21]

This definition seems rather far from the ELECTRA needs, since it is about a different context and assessment of new standards.

In the ELECTRA context, what should be evaluated should be the "coherence with SGAM" (and in particular with its information and communication layers) verifying that the standard has a position in the reference model and checking if the standard has been inserted in the First List of Standards and, if not, checking that no other available standards have been identified as available in the same coordinates of the SGAM reference model. So the following questions from the CAMSS will be deleted:

- 1. Area of existing European standard: are there existing European standards which cover the same areas as the technical specification or standard being assessed?
- 2. Area of obsolete European standard: does the technical specification or standard cover areas different from areas addressed by obsolete European standards?
- 3. Area of technical specification under consideration: Does the technical specification or standard cover areas different from areas addressed by technical specifications being under consideration to become a European standard?

Moreover, the **Openness** and the **IPR** categories have been judged too complex and far from the ELECTRA aims. So the first has been simplified and the second deleted, while a more interesting question about IPR (that is "Is the technical specification or standard licensed on a royalty-free basis?") has been inserted into Openness category.

Some further explanation has to be added about question 10 (about "compatibility"). In CAMSS the original question asks: "*is the technical specification or standard largely compatible with related (not alternative) technical specification or standards in the same area of application?*".

In order to understand if this question made sense in the ELECTRA context, a reference definition of compatibility was searched. According to ISO/IEC, **compatibility** is defined as the "suitability of products, processes or services for use together under specific conditions to fulfil relevant requirements without causing unacceptable interactions⁷. "So, from the point of view of the ISO/IEC definition the question could be interpreted as: "if I need to use different standards together for implementing a use case, could I meet problems?"

Two kinds of examples of this interpretation:

- If the examined standard is an information layer standard, what are the compatible communication layer standards?
	- o For example, the IEC 61850 can be mapped on MMS (IEC 61850-8-1) but in future will be compatible also with SOAP, OPC XML DA, IEC 60870-5-104 and DNP3
- A more complex way for looking and the problem could involve the compatibility of different standards, belonging to the same layer, for using them together in the same use case, but this seems too complex to be really considered.

So, if the standards that cover SGAM information layer are considered, of course it can be asked about possible communication standards that can be employed on the SGAM communication layer. Moreover, it is important to remind that the SGAM communication layer involves protocols placed on different layers of the general 7-layers ISO/OSI reference model. Therefore, it is possible to ask about possible communication profiles (or stacks of standard protocols) including standard application layer protocols that can be used together with the assessed standard (located on the SGAM information layer).

[.] ⁷ <http://www.electropedia.org/iev/iev.nsf/display?openform&ievref=901-01-13>

For example, let us consider IEC 61850. Assessing this standard using our Excel tool it should be indicated that it covers both information and communication SGAM layers. Specifically, IEC 61850- 7-3 and 7-4 define data models (i.e. CDC) of a given interface (or a given server) and these parts should be located on SGAM information layer. On the other hand, IEC 61850-7-2 defines abstract communication services (i.e. ACSI) and, consequently, this part should be located on SGAM communication layer even though this standard does not define communication protocol (it defines an abstract communication solution that can be mapped to a real ISO/OSI application layer standardized protocol -MMS for example - this is shown in IEC 61850-8-1). Moreover, IEC 61850- 8-1 part depicts possible communication stacks that can be applied. If client-server communication architecture is considered, different 7-layer protocol stacks can be used with MMS protocol at the top (i.e. ISO profile and TCP/IP profile). But if publisher-subscriber communication architecture and GOOSE messages are considered, the communication stack is reduced to Ethernet that is used at L2/L1.

So, If COSEM data model is considered, then theoretically any application protocol can be used, but the standardized solution foresees DLMS protocol at the top of any possible standardized protocol stack (i.e. DLMS + TCP/IP + Ethernet, DLMS+HDLC+RS 232, etc.).

Conclusions:

- 1. If a standard covering SGAM information layer is considered then the tool will ask about examples of possible communication profiles that include standardized communication protocols and can be used in practice with the assessed standard.
- 2. If a standard covering SGAM communication layer is considered, then the tool also can ask about possible communication profiles which include the assessed standardized protocol and other standard protocols located at different ISO/OSI layers.

The ELECTRA questionnaire for assessment of standards, produced starting from the CAMS questionnaire, is shown in [Table 6.](#page-35-0)

Table 6 - ELECTRA Questionnaire for assessment of standards and its derivation from CAMSS (for details see Sheet 4: Questionnaire on [Figure 15\)](#page-49-0)

| Category | Description | Sub-Category | Description | N. | Criteria (Question) | Comments |
|---|---|-----------------------------|---|----|--|---|
| | | | | | approved in a decision making process which aims at reaching consensus? | approach for ELECTRA purposes |
| | | | | | Is the technical specification or standards reviewed using a formal review process with all relevant external stakeholders (e.g. public consultation)? | Deleted, in order to simplify the approach for ELECTRA purposes |
| | | | | | All relevant stakeholders can formally appeal or raise objections to the development and approval of technical specifications or standards? | Deleted, in order to simplify the approach for ELECTRA purposes |
| | | Documentation | The accessibility and availability of the documentation of the technical specification or standard is addressed. | 28 | Relevant documentation of the development and approval process of technical specification or standards is publicly available (e.g. preliminary results, committee meeting notes)? | Original question |
| | | | | 29 | Is the documentation of the technical specification or standard publicly available for implementation and use on reasonable terms? | Original question |
| Intellectual property rights | A technical specification or standard should be licensed on (F)RAND ⁸ -terms or even on a royalty- free basis in a way that allows <i>implementation in</i> different products. | IPR Documentation | The availability of the information concerning the ownership rights of the technical specification or standard is addressed. | | Is the documentation of the IPR for technical specification or standards publicly available? | Deleted, in order to simplify the approach for ELECTRA purposes Also the IPR Category has been deleted for the same reason |

 8 FRAND (or RAND) stays for "Fair, Reasonable And Non-Discriminatory" and means a licensing obligation that is often required by standards organizations for members that participate in the standard-setting process [48]

With respect to the original CAMSS Questionnaire, a lot of changes were made, so that only 21 of the original 52 questions are used as they were:

Table 7 - Modifications made in ELECTRA to the original CAMSS questionnaire

2.3.3 The EAT-SGIS tool for collecting information about Smart Grid Standards

In order to facilitate the work of standard experts which have to provide the needed information to the use case designer an Excel tool was designed and implemented for enabling the collection of information on and assessment of standards. This tool has been named *EAT SGIS (ELECTRA* Assessment Tool for Smart Grid Interface Standards) Tool.

The tool was designed as a *GUI prototyping Open Source tool*, called *Pencil*. The figures shown in the following page are realized using it.

The EAT-SGIS tool has 6 sheets.

Sheet 1: Introduction

The starting page of the tool [\(Figure 13\)](#page-47-0) contains the information about who has filled it and the date (in order to be able to take into account different versions of a collection of data). Moreover it is required if the editor has a direct knowledge of the standards (in the sense that she/he was a user of the standard also before studying it for filling out the questionnaire). In the case of negative answer, the strength of the evaluation will be diminished off the 10% with respect of the calculated value.

Figure 13 - Introduction to the tool

▶ Sheet 2: Standard features

In the second sheet [\(Figure 14\)](#page-48-0) the main information about the standard has to be inserted. It includes the aims of the standard and a short description. Also the involved roles (possibly according to the ENTSO-E Harmonized Electricity Market role model) will be required here.

The answer about the "coordinated" on the SGAM model, covered by the standards, will be used for evaluating the coherence with SGAM category together with the questionnaire answers.

In addition to the SGAM layers, other kinds of classifications are suggested by this identity card:

- If the standard covers the SGAM "information layer":
	- \circ It is asked "If the information layer specification provides Document specification, how does it provide them? (e.g. XML schema)";
	- \circ What are the main kinds of messages/document/information that can be exchanged by the information standard (For example, "Energy Market Information, like Prices, Tariffs and Products" or "Demand response signals" or "Generation forecast"…).

These two pieces of information seem to be fundamental for understanding if an information standard is suited for being used in a specific use case.

- "What are the Smart Grid Functionalities enabled by this standard?" The list of the functionality is the following one (coming from the US DOE document about Smart Grid Research and Development Multi-year program plan [26]:
	- o Customer Participation;
	- o Integration of all generation and storage options;
	- o New markets and operations;
	- o Power quality;
	- o Asset optimization and operational efficiency;
	- o Self-healing from disturbance;
	- o Resiliency against attack and disaster.

 Use case clusters which are enabled by the standard. The list of the clusters came directly from the SGCG "set of standards" document.

Figure 14 - The identity Card of the standard

> Sheet 3: Additional Information

In the third sheet [\(Figure 15\)](#page-49-0), there are free pages for inserting a longer description of the standards, including, if needed, also images. A Word document would be more suited for this aim, but it seems better to have all the needed information in the same Excel file, for avoiding excessive multiplying of files.

Figure 15 - Here, additional information about the Standard can be inserted

 \triangleright Sheet 4: Questionnaire

In the fourth sheet [\(Figure 16\)](#page-50-0) the questionnaire has to be filled out. The questionnaire is the one shown in [Table 6.](#page-35-0)

More in detail, the fields are:

- **Category**: the feature evaluated among the six in [Table 6.](#page-35-0)
- **Subcategory**: the parameters contributing to the evaluation of each category (see [Table 6\)](#page-35-0).
- **Question**: the question for assessing the categories.
- **KO question**: is this question so important for making the standard to knock out the standard?
- Response: it can be one of the following:
	- o Yes;
	- o No;
	- o Not Applicable;
	- o Insufficient documentation.

In the original CAMSS questionnaire the "Insufficient documentation" was not present and the Not Applicable answer was considered as a not provided answer. The adding of this further option has the aim to allow to distinguish the case in which the question is really not applicable since it has not sense (in this case a comment for justifying why it has no sense is required) and so the answer has to be considered as valid and the case in which it is not possible to answer because of lacking of documentation.

 Justification: a comment for justifying the answer can be inserted in this field. This comment is considered mandatory for the "Not Applicable" answer.

 Additional question: this field can contain for some row a request for additional information (this additional information is that present in the "comment" columns of [Table](#page-35-0) [6\)](#page-35-0). Always the question appears only for some values of the answer field (for example, if the answer about compatibility with other standards would be yes, a question about what are the names of these compatible standards would appear).

Answer to the additional question: In the case of additional question in the previous cell, the answer can be written here.

Figure 16 - Questionnaire for assessment of the standard

 \triangleright Sheet 5: assessment

On the base of the previous questionnaire, the evaluation of the standard is calculated and shown also in graphical way [\(Figure 17\)](#page-52-0).

The **score** is calculated, for each category, with the following formula:

$$
Score = \begin{cases} IF\ nr.\ of\ Yes + nr.\ of\ No = 0 \rightarrow Not\ assessed\\ IF\ nr.\ of\ Yes + nr.\ of\ No > 0 \rightarrow \frac{nr.\ of\ Yes}{nr.\ of\ Yes + nr.\ of\ No} \end{cases}
$$

The **strength** of the evaluation is calculated as the share of answered questions (so excluding "white" fields and "insufficient documentation" answers):

$$
Strength = \frac{nr. \text{ of } Yes + nr. \text{ of } No + nr. \text{ of } Not \text{ applicable}}{Nr. \text{ of questions for this category}}
$$

The denominator of previous formula needs to take into account that there are some questions that can disappear and so the total number could be variable (e.g. question 3 can be hidden if the answer to question 1 is different from "Yes").

The **knock-out** field is automatically reported if some knock-out criteria have been broken, according to the following table:

Table 8 - How the knock-out criteria are shown

The **evaluation** can be assigned by the editor, choosing among the following values: very low, low, moderate, high, very high.

The automated evaluation is evaluated in the following way:

$$
IF Score \cdot Strength \begin{cases} < 0.2 \rightarrow very low \\ > 0.2 AND < 0.4 \rightarrow low \\ > 0.4 AND < 0.6 \rightarrow moderate \\ > 0.6 AND < 0.8 \rightarrow high \\ > 0.8 \rightarrow very high \end{cases}
$$

This field is thought both as a suggestion for the editor and as a replacement for the manually assigned value if it is not assigned by the editor.

In the **comment** field the assessment can be commented by the editor.

The last row in the table of [Figure 17s](#page-52-0)hows the "Final assessment" containing results of the final calculations of the assessment parameters. The Final Score, the Final Strength, and the Final Automated Assessment are calculated with respect to the whole questionnaire shown in [Table 6](#page-35-0) using similar formulas as those shown earlier, but calculated on the total number of answers, instead of being split in categories.

Figure 17 - Assessment of the standard (red line: Score / blue line: Assessment Strength)

▶ Sheet 6: Reporting

The last sheet [\(Figure 18\)](#page-52-1) will be automatically filled in order to summarize the main information in a printable screen.

Figure 18 - Summary of information about the standard

The fields that have to be presented are the following:

The sum of the previous scores can be from 0 to 3. Splitting this range in

Existence of a defined maintenance organization (39) 1 0

Summarizing table

three parts (0; 1; from 2 to 3), this barrier can be evaluated of: high probability, moderate probability, low probability.

Similar score tables can be defined for the other three barriers:

| Lacking of Critical mass | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Criteria | Score for Yes | Score for the other options | | | | |
| Standard publicly available on reasonable terms (29) | 0,5 | | | | | |
| Licensed on a royalty-free basis (30) | 0,5 | | | | | |
| Used for different implementations by vendors/suppliers (31) | | | | | | |
| Used in different industries, business sectors of functions (32) | | | | | | |
| Significant share of adoption (33) | | n | | | | |
| Interest/user groups (34) | | | | | | |
| Added value with respect to alternative standards (9) | | | | | | |
| Compatibility with related (not alternative) standards '10I | | | | | | |
| Ongoing/planned harmonization process (11) | | | | | | |

In the previous table, there are some parameters that have been evaluated with 0,5 points. This is because they are complementary: the first two taken together give the indication about the openness of the specifications, the following two gives the indication about the public availability of the standard

The sum of the previous scores can be from 0 to 8. Splitting this range in three parts (from 0 to 2; from 2 to 5; from 6 to 8), this barrier can be evaluated of: high probability, moderate probability, low probability.

The sum of the previous scores can be from 0 to 12. Splitting this range in three parts (from 0 to 4; from 5 to 8; from 9 to 12), this barrier can be evaluated of: high probability, moderate probability, low probability.

Summarizing table

The sum of the previous scores can be from 0 to 5 (since the last two questions are alternative). Splitting this range in three parts (from 0 to 1; equals to 2; from 3 to 4), this barrier can be evaluated of: high probability, moderate probability, low probability.

Figure 19 - Examples of Critical Points and Comment fields

The final version of the tool is provided together with this document.

3 Standards for the use cases

3.1 ICT standard selection criteria

3.1.1 General considerations

Considering that ELECTRA is related to the vision of the future European power system, in order to identify the proper ICT solutions it could be useful to consider the trend that characterize the ICT standard development both at international and European level.

The focus of the ICT standard is moving up from the lower level to a higher one, giving more importance to the semantic level (roughly the SGAM information layer), often considering the lower level as something already in place (e.g. Ethernet, TCP/IP). Of course, there are also some exceptions where new approach is coming up also at lower level (e.g. Deterministic Ethernet), but this doesn't seem to change the general trend.

This point of view seems confirmed by the IEC-TC57 reference architecture (IEC 62357-1) and the "IEC Smart Grid core standards" [27], where all the standards have a strong semantic layer (CIM based, IEC-61850, DLMS/COSEM).

Figure 20 - IEC Smart Grid Core Standards

Also ENTSO-E, as one of the main institutional and technical stakeholder of the European power system, seems to be in line with this approach considering its very active role both in CIM and IEC-61850 standard development and interoperability test.

Considering this international and European scenarios, it could be appropriate to give priorities, where it will be possible, to these cited core ICT standards.

The CIM based IEC-61970 standards series [28] related to Network Operations objectives, usually express Measurement and State information linked to network topology elements. This approach is useful not only when the information is exchanged inside a single operator domain, but also when the exchanges involve different cooperating operators. A concrete example of this approach is reported on page 83 of the ENTSO-E "Common Grid Model Methodology Generation and Load Data Provision Methodology" document, where the "Structural" and "Variable" information that a TSO need from a DSO are specified [29].

The IEC-61850 standards series [30], which could be considered as the operating section of the ICT standards usually related to field devices, include different application scope like: Substation automation, Distribution automation, DER management, etc.

The synergy improvement, involving the two complementary CIM related and IEC-61850 standards, is one of the main SDO's objectives that could really help the Smart Grid implementation.

3.1.2 Consideration related to the ELECTRA project

The ICT standards to be selected in the ELECTRA project should be already available or on the way to be defined, possibly excluding the definition of further new standards. For this reason it's necessary to identify the relationship between some new concepts related to the ELECTRA approach and the current approach for the management of an electrical system.

Hereafter, the ICT standards related to a specific use case are identified considering the relationship between the data requirements specified in section 5 "Information exchanged" of the use case description and the available/coming standard defined in the "SG-CG - Smart Grid Set of Standards Version 3.1" and the IEC Smart Grid Standard map.

In a first step, the set of information required by the actor/function specified in the use case will drive the information layer mapping of standards, followed by the communication technology mapping.

For executing this kind of analyses we need to mix two approaches:

- The SGCG standard reference document and the related IoP tool (SGCG Interoperability Tool) and from the IEC map
- The Domain knowledge from the experts

The first point, alone, allows having a strong theoretical reference for the analysis but risks to be dispersive, since these tools are not optimized for being used by designers. The second is more effective but it risks to restrict the field to an incomplete set of standards.

The approach followed in this analysis is:

- Identification of possible SGAM Component Layer diagram (this passage is needed since there is no reason for using standards for exchanging information between functions located within the same physical component, but also for helping in identifying the most suited Communication Layer standards).
- Identification, for each information exchange, of the coordinates on the SGAM and using the IoP tool, the "set of standards document" [9] complemented with the IEC map [27] for identifying the set of standards that could have particular relevance for it and, then, trying to identify the standards that can really satisfy the needs of that exchange. Where possible the domain knowledge will be used for refining the selection. Being this process really long, just the final results were reported in this document.

3.2 The use cases

The analysed use cases were defined and examined in details in D4.2 [31]. They are the following ones:

Each of these use cases can have some variants, which are referred, in the following paragraphs, with the codes used in D4.2.

3.3 Standard analysis for Inertia Response Power Control (IRPC) use cases

3.3.1 The IRPC use cases

Before starting the mapping, all related time sequence diagrams (TSD) in IRPC2.2.2 are shown in the following figures. According the [Figure 21](#page-60-0) to [Figure 25,](#page-62-0) there is a systematic approach applied while drawing the TSDs. A general flow is shown in [Figure 21,](#page-60-0) while details inside the main actors (i.e. Synchronous Area Imbalance Detection) are shown in the other four figures.

Figure 21 - The high level operation of IRPC Variant 2.2.2

Figure 23 - Synchronous Area Inertia Provision (SAIP)

Figure 24 - VSG Inertia Provision

Figure 25 - VSG Controller

3.3.2 SGAM Mapping

3.3.2.1 Function layer

By using all these five TSDs, a conceptual SGAM mapping has been performed by showing all function in detail. It is important to see the overall picture of the IRPC 2.2.2 (see [Figure 26\)](#page-63-0). Then, similar to [Figure 21,](#page-60-0) the functions are grouped together and named with a capital letter (see [Figure](#page-64-0)

[27\)](#page-64-0). Finally, in order to assess the flow and required components, the SGAM mapping has been simplified (see [Figure 28\)](#page-64-1).

Figure 26 - SGAM Mapping (actors, functions, devices)

Figure 27 - SGAM Mapping with possible components and grouping

Figure 28 - Simplified mapping

In the following paragraphs, details regarding the each group are explained. The information stated is used during the mapping process and will be used in the determination of the standards. Each group are evaluated in terms of SGAM zones, SGAM domains, possible components (how is the group be represented; a device, a computer, etc.), and information exchanges.

CO: (Cell Operator)

Roles for the determination of zones:

- Managing resources within the cell in order to meet the set-points received from the actors at CTL3 and reporting back to them in aggregated form *(Operation zone)*
- The calculation of actual cell inertia J_{cell} [kg m²] and adjusting its value by the required change δJ [kg m²] received
- Deployment individual inertial resources in the cell *(Operation zone)*
- In case the cell is large enough, it may be economically feasible to use a separate market based deployment mechanism *(Enterprise and Market Zones)*

Determination of Domains:

The CO operates at all domains except the bulk generation (*transmission, distribution, DER, and customer premises*).

Possible device:

It is a computer based actor or a controller, possibly with an operator control.

Details on information exchanges:

A1: (Angular Frequency Monitor)

Roles for the determination of zones:

- Calculates the angular frequency of the grid, from 3-phase voltage waveforms *(Field zone)*
- Filtering is needed to get values that reflect the rotational speed of synchronous rotors nearby the control node.

Determination of Domains:

It must be located where the voltage sensor is located. (*Transmission and distribution domains*)

Possible component:

It is a sensor that has a communication interface.

Details on information exchanges:

A2: This is a physical device (process level), interacting only with A1. No necessary for detailed assessment.

B: (Synchronous Area Inertia Provision)

Roles for the determination of zones:

- Synchronous Area Inertia J [kg.m²] Controller: Determine the required change in cell inertia δJ [kg.m²] with the calculation of using the present system inertia and a reference inertia set-point. *(Operation zone)*
- Inertia Deployment Mechanism: Deployment mechanism for the required change of inertia δJ [kg.m²] (from CTL3 controller) within a synchronous area. This function determines the change in inertia required from each cell to meet controller demand. *(Operation zone)*

Determination of Domains:

Transmission and distribution.

Possible component:

It is a device or a computer based controller.

Details on information exchanges:

C1: (Energy Store Charge Controller)

Roles for the determination of zones:

- A device that monitors the State Of Charge (SOC) of an energy storage device and sends control signals to charge or discharge to a power conversion device *(Field zone)*
- This function also communicates the SOC information to the VSG status controller to be sent to the cell operator *(Field zone)*

Determination of Domains:

Since most of converter based resources will be at the distribution level the domains will be: *Distribution, DER, Customer premises.*

Possible component:

It is a device based controller.

Details on information exchanges:

C2 and **C3:** They are actuator and physical device (located at process level), respectively. Necessary information is covered in other devices.

D1: (VSG Controller)

Roles for the determination of zones:

- VSG Status controller: Monitors the status of the VSG (available Inertia + energy store SOC). This information is communicated to CTL2/3 functions (inertia monitor + deployment mechanism) over high speed communication technology. receives dispatch commands from the deployment mechanism *(Field zone)*
- Inertial Power Calculator: Calculates the required inertial power response based on the total inertia J_T [kg m²], angular grid frequency ω [rad/s] and the ROCOF dω/dt. *(Field zone)*
- Large Imbalance Detector: Uses the frequency and its time to detect frequency incidents and calculate an inertial adjust factor. *(Field zone)*
- Control loop which calculates the frequency and rate of change of frequency (ROCOF) of the grid voltage waveforms using a phase angle following feedback loop. *(Field zone)*

Determination of Domains:

They will be at the distribution level the domains will be: *Distribution, DER, Customer premises.*

Possible component:

It is a controller.

Details on information exchanges:

D2: This is a physical device (process level), interacting only with D1. No necessary for detailed assessment.

3.3.2.2 Component Layer

The component layer for the IRPC 2.2.2 has been defined using the "*First Set of Standards*" report published by CEN-CENELEC-ETSI Smart Grid Coordination Group and "detailed description document of IRPC 2.2.2" by writing team. Since there are not many details about the components in the use case document, the most feasible way selected while determining the component layer (See [Figure 29\)](#page-69-0).

Figure 29 - Component Layer

The IRPC 2.2.2 use case comprises mainly three actions as "device inertial response power exchange", "synchronous area inertia control" and "cell inertia control". Considering the component layer, it can be grouped in two main actions: "*device inertial response power exchange*" and "*inertia control*". Therefore, two main component schemes can be drawn for each group.

The "device inertial response power exchange" can be correlated with the *DER management systems*. The component scheme for *DER operation* and/or *DER EMS and VPP system* can be mapped into this use case. Voltage sensor-2, power converter and energy storage systems are located at the process zone as devices while Energy Store Charge Controller and VSG controller are located at the field zone as unit controllers. They are connected to a plant controller located in the substation zone (in order to fit the common scheme). Then, in the duty of the cell operator, the operation system (possibly a computer based) has performed the "device inertial response power

exchange". Considering the possibility of a market based deployment mechanism, it is also interacting with the trading system.

Since the measurement of major node may be from either transmission or distribution level (it depends on the cell structure), the "inertia control" can be correlated with the *Substation Automation System* (transmission and distribution). Other possibility is to use PMU systems while measuring the inertia from the major node (*Wide Area Measurement System*). Therefore, Angular Frequency Monitor can be either an IED or PMU connected to the voltage sensor-1. Here, the information flows through a PDC (PMU Data Concentrator) or Substation SCADA and reaches the Cell Operator SCADA/DMS center.

3.3.3 Relevant Standards - Communication and Information Layers

The communication and information layers for the IRPC 2.2.2 has also been defined using the "*First Set of Standards*" report. Since the component layer has recently been defined, the possible communication and information layers are generated in the direction of component layer. They are shown in [Figure 30](#page-70-0) and [Figure 31](#page-71-0) respectively.

Figure 30 - Communication Layer

Figure 31 - Information Layer

a) All Possible Communication Networks:

M - Industrial Fieldbus Area Network: Networks that interconnect process control equipment mainly in power generation (bulk or distributed) in the scope of smart grids. *In this Use Case, It may be suggested between unit controllers and field devices.*

E - Intra-substation network: Network inside a primary distribution substation or inside a transmission substation. It is involved in low latency critical functions such as tele-protection. Internally to the substation, the networks may comprise from one to three buses (system bus, process bus, and multi-services bus). *In this Use Case, it can be used between IEDs and devices, and Unit Controllers and Plant Controllers.*

C - Field Area Network: Networks at the distribution level upper tier, which is a multi-services tier that integrates the various sub layer networks and provides backhaul connectivity in two ways: directly back to control centers via the WAN or directly to primary substations to facilitate substation level distributed intelligence. It also provides peer-to-peer connectivity or hub and spoke connectivity for distributed intelligence in the distribution level. *In this Use Case, it can be suggested between plant controllers and central controller.*

L - Wide and Metropolitan Area Network: Networks that can use public or private infrastructures. They inter-connect network devices over a wide area (region or country) and are defined through SLAs (Service Level Agreement). *In this use case, it is suggested for the synchronous inertia control operation (CTL3).*

F - Inter substation network: Networks that interconnect substations with each other and with control centers. These networks are wide area networks and the high end performance requirements for them can be stringent in terms of latency and burst response. In addition, these networks require very flexible scalability and due to geographic challenges they can require mixed physical media and multiple aggregation topologies. System control tier networks provide networking for SCADA, SIPS, event messaging, and remote asset monitoring telemetry traffic, as well as peer-to-peer connectivity for tele-protection and substation-level distributed intelligence. *In this Use Case, it can be needed between Substation SCADA and central SCADA/controller.*

H - Enterprise Network: Enterprise or campus networks, as well as inter-control center networks. Since utilities typically have multiple control centers and multiple campuses that are widely separated geographically, *it is suggested for connecting the SCADA and DER operation system in case they are separated.*

G - Intra-Control Centre / Intra-Data Centre network: Networks inside two different types of facilities in the utility: utility data centers and utility control centers. They are at the same logical tier level, but they are not the same networks, as control centers have very different requirements for connection to real time systems and for security, as compared to enterprise data centers, which do not connect to real time systems. Each type provides connectivity for systems inside the facility and connections to external networks, such as system control and utility tier networks. *In this Use Case, it is possibly needed for the future DER control with the market operations.*

I - Balancing Network: Networks that interconnect generation operators and independent power producers with balancing authorities, and networks those interconnect balancing authorities with each other. In some emerging cases, balancing authorities may also dispatch retail level distributed energy resources or responsive load. *In this Use Case, it may be needed for the possible future market based inertia deployment in Cell Operator.*

b) All Possible Communication Standards:

IEC 61158 and **IEC 61784-1 –** Field bus protocols are standardized within these two protocols.

IEC 61850-90-5 – Use of IEC/EN 61850 to transmit synchrophasor information according to IEEE. (Ed. 1.0:2012 - Communication networks and systems for power utility automation - Part 90-5: Use of IEC/EN 61850 to transmit synchrophasor information according to IEEE C37.118)

IEC 61850-8-1 – Defines the communication for any kind of data flows except sample values (Ed. 2.0 2011- Communication networks and systems for power utility automation - Part 8-1: Specific communication service mapping (SCSM) - Mappings to MMS (ISO 9506-1 and ISO 9506-2) and to ISO/IEC 8802-3)

IEC 61850-90-2 – Guideline for using IEC/EN 61850 to control centers.

IEC 60870-5-101 – (Telecontrol equipment and systems – Part 5-101: Transmission protocols – Companion standard for basic telecontrol tasks)

IEC 60870-5-104 – (Telecontrol equipment and systems – Part 5-104: Transmission protocols – Network access for EN 60870-5-101 using standard transport profiles)

IEC 61850-8-2 – A new mapping of IEC/EN 61850 over the web services technology (IEC 61850- 8-2) is under specification, in order to enlarge (in security) the scope of application of IEC/EN 61850 outside the substation, while facilitating its deployment. (Coming Standard)

IEC 61400-25-4 – Wind turbines communication

IEC 61968-100 – Communication at the operations and enterprise levels

- **c) All Possible Information Standards:**
- **IEC 61131 –** Programmable controllers
- **IEC 61499 –** Distributed control and automation
- **IEC 61850-7-4 –** Core Information model and language for the IEC/EN 61850 series
- **IEC 61850-90-2 –** Substation to control center communication
- **IEC 61850-90-3 –** Condition monitoring
- **IEC 61850-90-5 –** Synchrophasors
- **IEC 61400-25 –** Wind Farms
- **IEC 61850-7-410 –** Hydroelectric power plants
- **IEC 61850-7-420 –** DER
- **IEC 61850-90-7 –** PV Inverters
- **IEC 61850-90-9 –** Batteries
- **IEC 61850-80-1 –** Mapping of IEC/EN 61850 data model over 60870-5-101 and 104
- **IEC 61850-90-10 –** Scheduling functions
- **IEC 61850-90-15 –** Multiple Use DER
- **IEC 61968 –** Common Information Model (System Interfaces for Distribution Management)
- **IEC 61970 –** Common Information Model (System Interfaces for Transmission Management)
- **IEC 62325 –** Market Operation models

d) Association of standards with Information Exchange:

Grid Voltage Waveforms (IEX_01): Two types of voltage waveform measurements are carried out in IRPC2.2.2. The first one is the "High voltage grid waveforms" transformed into levels that can be used by the controller. To acquire this information exchange, we can use either substation automation system (IEDs) or wide area measurement system (PMUs) at HV transmission level (or distribution level if the web of cell area covers only the distribution side). It is assumed that PMUs might slightly have better advantage in the future so that it is selected to be used in this information exchanged. The second one is "the physical grid voltage level" transformed into a small signal by Voltage sensor that can be used by the controller. We can assume that the second information exchanged is an internal operation realized by voltage sensors for the DER unit controllers.

It is suggested that IEC61850-90-5 must be used as information and communication standard in this information exchange at the transmission side.

Grid Angular Frequency (IEX_02): This information exchanged is the "RMS ($\delta\omega$) data" sent to inertia controller located at the operation zone by PMUs.

From the SGAM architecture, it is suggested that it would be possible to apply IEC 60870-5-104 standard for this exchange. One note that there is a coming standard as IEC61850-90-2 that also be used in this IEX, but it is not taken into account for now.

Total cell SOC (IEX_03): The information exchanged is the "aggregated cell VSG SOC status information" sent by the cell operator to the inertia controller. Here, we can assume that the inertia controller will be in the cell operation center at operation level.

It is suggested that IEC61968-100 protocol can possibly apply for communication, while IEC61968 series can be used for the information interfaces. Here, Part 3 (interface for network operations) and Part 11 (CIM extensions for distribution) are two candidates for IEC61968 information standard. In this assessment, IEC61968-11 are suggested for the information layer.

Total cell inertia (IEX 04): The information exchanged is the "Deployment setpoint" sent by the cell operator to the inertia controller.

This IEX_04 has similar characteristic as IEX_03, therefore same standards can be suggested.

Individual cells: Inertia setpoints (IEX 06): It is the "Individual cells inertia setpoints" value sent by the inertia deployment to the cell operator. This information exchanged is an inter-cell value that can be sent to other cells by the cell operator.

Similar standard as IEX_3 can be applied between deployment mechanism and cell operator.

SOC (IEX_07): It is the "SOC status information" sent by the energy storage device to the charge controller.

Field protocols can be suggested for this IEX. IEC61499 protocol for information and IEC61158 protocol (or IEC671784-1) for communication layer can be implemented.

Power Setpoint (IEX_08): The "set point for controlling the SOC of the energy store" is sent by the charge controller to the power converter.

Similar to IEX_07, field protocols can be suggested for this information exchanged.

Individual devices: state of charge (IEX_09): "SOC status information" is sent by each VSG energy storage device to the cell operator.

From the SGAM architecture, it is suggested that it would be possible to apply IEC 60870-5-104 protocol for this exchange.

Individual devices: resource status - inertia deployed and available (IEX_10): Each VSG sends its "status data" to the cell operator.

Same standard in IEX_09 can be applied.

Individual devices: inertia setpoints (IEX_11): The cell operator deploys individual VSG devices to achieve "the inertia setpoint".

Same standard in IEX_09 can also be applied.

Dispatch Command, Inertia Setpoint (IEX 15): The information exchanged is the "inertia power setpoint" sent by the power calculator (unit controller) to the power converters in the fields.

The exchanged information is in DER operation between field and process. So that, it can be suggested that an industrial field protocol might be used for communication and information.

Therefore, IEC61499 protocol for information and IEC61158 protocol (or IEC671784-1) for communication layer can be implemented.

Besides these 11 information exchanges, there are 5 more internal information exchanges (5, 12, 13, 14, 16), which is not mentioned due to the fact that they are not related with the standard assessment.

3.3.4 Conclusions

As a conclusion, the summary table for the information and communication standards is given below:

Table 9 - Information and communication standards for IRPC

3.4 Standard analysis for Frequency Containment Control (FCC) use cases

The present chapter executes an analysis of the FCC use looking at the Information Layer of the SGAM.

3.4.1 The FCC Use cases

The present sequence diagram is proposed for this use case:

3.4.2 SGAM Mapping

3.4.2.1 Function layer

Table 10 - Functions and their definitions

The mapping on the function layer is the following:

Figure 33 - FCC use case – assignment of actors to SGAM coordinates on the Function Layer

3.4.2.2 Component layer

The possible implementation of the variants in terms of component is generic and so different implementation options would be possible. However, the identification of standards, and particularly at communication level, presumes the mapping of the the use cases in terms of components, making some reasonable assumptions derived from the abovementioned associations with the use case clusters.

Figure 34 - FCC use case – definition of the component layer

Table 11 - Definition of the components and functions mapped on them

3.4.3 Relevant Standards - Information Layer

On the base of the precedent mappings and of the set of standard document from the SGCG [9] a first sub set of standards can be identified for this use case, looking at what in the SGCG document is suggested for the "DER operation system" use case. The overview of these standards as proposed by the SGCG is shown in [Table 12.](#page-82-0)

As can be seen, the pieces of information available from the document are not sufficient for understanding if they satisfy the needs of the use case, so a more reasoned process is applied in paragraph [3.4.5.](#page-82-1)

Table 12 - List of relevant information standards from the SGCG set of standards (source, figure 32 of SGCG, 2014)

3.4.4 Relevant Standards - Communication Layer

Table 13 - List of relevant communication standards from the SGCG set of standards (source, figure 31 of SGCG, 2014)

3.4.5 Association of standards with Information Exchange

The previous diagrams (function and information layers and relevant standards) together with the tables about information exchange presented by the use case are the base for this analysis. Now each information exchange in the sequence diagram is examined.

It is important to note that the central CSO SCADA/EMS incorporates the following functions:

- Cell Electrical Data Observer;
- Reserves Status Information;
- Cell State Estimation;
- Merit Order building;
- Device Droop Slope Determination

This assumption is important for the rest of this chapter.

3.4.5.1 Request command (IEX_01)

According to the FCC use case definition, active power is a request command containing the requested parameters values:

- Get active power The *Device Droop Slope Determination function* requests active power values from the *Cell Electrical Data Observer* in order to calculate the energy production yield (this information object is sent from *Device Droop Slope Determination* to *Cell Electrical Data Observer*: considering the component layer, this is an internal exchange);
- Get reserves availability The *Merit Order Building function* acquires information of available reserves for preliminary merit order building. The data are retrieved from *Reserves Status Information function* (this information object is sent from Cell State Estimation to Cell Electrical Data Observer: considering the component layer, this is an internal exchange);
- Get device's voltages/currents The *Reserves Status Information* requests voltages and currents of the *devices* in order to calculate the available power capacity for the service provision (this information object is sent from *Merit Order Building* to *Reserves Status Information*: considering the component layer, this is an internal exchange);
- Get voltage measurement The *Frequency Observer* function requests the actual voltage waveform from the *Sensor*;

3.4.5.2 Active Power (IEX_02)

According to the FCC use case definition, active power is:

- Array containing the active power profiles of generation/consumption over time
- These arrays are sent from a *Cell Electrical Data Observer* to *Device Droop Slope Determination*
- These data are requested (IEX 01) in order to calculate the energy production yield

It can be expected that the Cell State Estimation will be included in the CSO SCADA/EMS system. At the moment it has not yet established how the Device Droop Slope Determination will be realized. In the component layer, it is supposed that it can be part of the CSO SCADA/EMS system and so it is an internal **exchange** and no standards are needed.

NOTE: If it were a central device and different from the CSO SCADA/EMS then it could be assumed that IEC 60870-5-104 standard would be used to transmit active power values.

3.4.5.3 Energy (IEX_03)

According to the use case definition, the IEX-03 exchange

- contains the energy yield of the cells and it is a scalar value containing energy
- is executed between *Device Droop Slope Determination* actors of different cells (and so between CSO SCADA/EMS systems of different cells)
- the *Device Droop Slope Determination* receives information with regard to the energy yield of the web of cells so that all cells can use the information to calculate the CPFC set-point in a distributed manner

The standard suggested for the IEX-03 is the IEC 60870-5-104. Indeed, this standard enable communication between CSO SCADA/EMS systems of different (e.g. neighboring) cells via a standard TCP/IP network and support the exchange of scalar values and related time information.

3.4.5.4 Buses' voltages and currents (IEX_05)

According to the use case definition, these buses' voltages and currents are:

- Steady-State Hypothesis parameters and, specifically, are vectors containing the following grid parameters applicable to this variant: Switches status, control settings (active power/voltage RMS), operating limits (active power/voltage RMS), energy injection of reserves providing devices
- exchanged between *Cell Electrical Data Observer* and *Cell State Estimation*

According to the Component layer, Cell Electrical Data Observer and Cell State Estimation are included within the same component (CSO) so this is an internal exchange and no standards are needed.

3.4.5.5 Cell State (IEX_06)

According to the use case definition, these cell states are:

- Vectors containing the following state variables associated with the grid parts where FCC reserves are connected: energized state (line, device), bus voltages (amplitude/phase), bus injections (amplitude/phase), violations of operating limits
- These vectors are sent by Cell State Estimator to Merit Order Building

According to the Component layer, Cell Electrical Data Observer and Cell State Estimation are included within the same component (CSO) so this is an internal exchange and no standards are needed.

3.4.5.6 Reserve Availability (IEX_08)

According to the FCC use case definition, this reserve availability (where DER, storage, flexible loads constitute the reserves with respect to FCC) brings information of available reserves for preliminary merit order building.

- In detail it is an array containing the availability (power capacity) versus time.
	- o These schedules are sent from a *Reserves Status Information* to *Merit Order Building*
- Then The Merit Order function acquires information of available reserves for preliminary merit order building. The data are retrieved from Reserves Status Information function

So the CSO SCADA/EMS component receives the information of available reserves either directly from the DER Controller component or from Aggregator DER Management System component as is shown in [Figure 34.](#page-80-0)

For the purpose of data exchange within Reserves Status Information function (i.e. between CSO SCADA/EMS and DER Controller) the following communication relations (interfaces) shown in [Figure 34](#page-80-0) of the FCC SGAM Component layer should be considered:

- 1. For indirect CSO SCADA/EMS communication with DER Controller (via Aggregator DER Management System):
	- (a) local DER Controller \leftrightarrow Aggregator DER Management System
	- (b) Aggregator DER Management System \leftarrow CSO SCADA/EMS
- 2. For direct CSO SCADA/EMS communication with DER Controller:
	- (c) local DER Controller \leftrightarrow CSO SCADA/EMS

If it is assumed that the IEC 61850 standard is applied then the IEC 61850-8-1 standard has to be used on SGAM Communication layer and the IEC 61850-7-420 standard has to be used together with IEC 61850-7-4, IEC 61850-7-3, and IEC 61850-7-2 standards on the SGAM Information layer.

Moreover, instead of IEC 61850, also the standard IEC 60870-5-104 could be used in (a), (b), and (c) interfaces.

3.4.5.7 Voltages and currents (IEX_07)

According to the use case definition, these production/consumption schedules are:

- Array containing voltages and currents of the associated reserves
	- o These schedules are get by the *Reserve Status Information* from the *FCC Controller*
- the Reserve Status Information acquires voltages/currents of the devices in order to calculate the available power for the service provision

So, the Reserves Status Information element of the CSO SCADA/EMS component receives the information of voltages and currents of the associated DER reserve either directly from the DER Controller component or from Aggregator DER Management System component what is shown in [Figure 34.](#page-80-0)

As stated in the previous paragraph, the interested interfaces are (b) or (c) and, in particular, either IEC 61850-7-420 or IEC 60870-5-104 solutions are the most suited to be applied.

3.4.5.8 Merit order list (IEX_09)

According to the use case definition, merit order is:

- Array containing priority activation of reserves
- They are sent from *Merit Order Building* to *Device Droop Slope Determinations*.

According to the Component layer these two functions are implemented within the same component (the CSO EMS/SCADA). So it is an internal exchange end no standards are needed for it.

3.4.5.9 FCC parameters dispatching (IEX_10)

According to the use case definition, the "FCC parameters" is:

- a vector containing droop slope, Δfthres. and fref. values
- sent from "Device Droop Slope Determination" to "FCC Controller"

The exchanged information are frequency value and slope value.

These are scalar values. In this case the CSO SCADA/EMS component has to send data to the DER Controller component. Similarly as in section [3.4.5.6](#page-84-0) either direct or indirect (via Aggregator DER Management System) communication is possible. Therefore, two solutions are possible: either the IEC 61850 standard (i.e. IEC61850-7-420, IEC 61850-7-4, IEC 61850-7-3, IEC 61850-7- 2, IEC 61850-8-1) or the IEC 60870-5-104 standard can be used.

3.4.5.10 Voltage Waveform (IEX_11)

According to the use case definition, the voltage waveform (report voltage measurement):

- is electrical signal but also the option of digital sampling of voltage can be considered
- is sent by the Sensor to the Frequency Observer

Due to the assumed FCC SGAM Component layer definition, voltage waveform is needed to either for the PMU device, locally connected with DER Controller, or for the Embedded Processor with PLL which is also locally used with DER Controller component and in this case it is probable that this Embedded Processor is the internal element of this component. In both cases they are electrical connections.

3.4.5.11 Frequency Value (IEX_12)

According to the use case definition, the Frequency Value:

- is a Scalar value of frequency
- is sent by a Frequency Observer to the FCC Controller

The frequency is measured either by PMU device, locally connected with DER Controller, or by the Embedded Processor with PLL which is also locally used with DER Controller component and in this case it is probable that this Embedded Processor is the internal element of this component. Summarizing:

- 1. If PMU is used then the IEEE C37.118.2 standard (or IEC/TR 61850-90-5 technical report) has to be used in the interface between the PMU and the DER Controller. This standard has to be used both on SGAM Information and Communication layer.
- 2. If, instead of the PMU, the Embedded Processor with PLL is used then it can be expected that this module would be integrated within the DER Controller and it is not necessary to indicate standards in this case.

NOTE: At present the PMUs offered on the market are consistent with the standard: either IEEE Standard C37.118.2-2011 or its earlier version IEEE Standard C37.118-2005. Unfortunately recently it has not been possible for us to find the PMU consistent with IEC 61850-90-5.

3.4.5.12 Power deviation (IEX_13)

According to the use case definition, the power deviation:

- is a control signal and is the power deviation value with reference to the frequency error
- is sent by the *FCC Controller* (Domain: Distribution; Zones: Process-Field) to the Power Controller (that should be the CPFC. Domain: Distribution; Zones: Field-Station)

Both these functions are implemented within the same component (DER Controller) and so this is an internal exchange and no standard are needed.

3.4.5.13 Voltage/current change (IEX_14)

According to the use case definition, the voltage/current change signals:

- are Waveform references used by the power converter to produce the requested power deviation
- are sent by the *Power Controller* (Domain: DER; Zones: Field) to the *Power Converter* (Domain: DER; Zone: Process)
- to modify the active power of the device

This connection is not relevant from the standards point of view because it takes place within the power converter itself, namely, the latter is equipped with a function that allows for power control.

3.4.6 Conclusions

The following table summarizes the previous results.

The previous results can be shown by the resulting SGAM Information [\(Figure 35\)](#page-88-0) and Communication [\(Figure 36\)](#page-89-0) layers:

Figure 35 - Information Layer for the FCC use case

Figure 36 - Communication Layer for the FCC use case

3.5 Standard analysis for Balance restoration control (BRC) use cases

3.5.1 The BRC Use cases

All three variants of Balance Restoration Control, namely 1.1, 1.2 and 1.5 have the two-fold scope of dispatching reserves and activating them whenever an imbalance incident occurs. These variants present similarities in terms of used functions and sequence of interactions. Especially BRC1.1 and 1.2 are identical in terms of information exchanges and involved functions. Therefore, in this analysis they presented in parallel making distinct, whenever necessary, special characteristics of each one. As it can be seen in [Figure 37,](#page-90-0) [Figure 38](#page-91-0) and [Figure 39,](#page-91-1) the variants are divided into two phases. The first stage, depicted in [Figure 37](#page-90-0) (BRC1.1 and 1.2) and [Figure 38](#page-91-0) (BRC1.5) is concerned with the planning of reserves in order to ensure that enough flexibility will be available when, based on an imbalance incident, the Cell Imbalance Observer function will invoke the reserves in order to restore the initial balance. This latter set of actions (phase 2) is illustrated in the lower part of [Figure 37](#page-90-0) (BRC1.1 and 1.2) and in [Figure 39](#page-91-1) (BRC1.5) respectively. The implementation of the variant presumes a number of function blocks that interact in order to produce the expected result. These functions cover mainly Control Topology Levels, such as CTL1 and 2. Particularly, it is worth noting for BRC1.5 that among the functions there are two actors, namely the Cell Operator and the Aggregator. Although, these two actors can have a wide and more generic use in a process, in the specific variant they represent a subset of functionalities making so possible to assume that these actors can be illustrated as function of the SGAM Function Layer. This subset of functionalities is further specified in BRC1.1 and1.2, which involves a more concrete set of functions playing the role of Aggregator and Cell Operator. In the case of the aggregator, the functions which cover its aspect are: Aggregator Reserve Controller (as the physical aggregator of electrical signals), Aggregator Reserve Bid Calculator (as a market role) and BRC controller (as the block that activates reserves). Similarly, the Merit Order Building function of BRC1.1 and1.2 performs similar to the Cell Operator (BRC1.5) actions.

Figure 37 - Interactions between actors taking place during procurement and real-time control of BRC1.1 and 1.2

Figure 38 - Interactions between actors taking place during the procurement phase of BRC1.5

Figure 39 - Interactions between actors taking place during the real-time control phase of BRC1.5

3.5.2 SGAM Mapping

For the identification of standards in these variants, it is essential that a reasonable mapping for the functions and components should be made. To this end the background work from the Smart Grid Coordination Group with regard to the relevant set of standards is used. The scope of using this methodology is to identify use cases and components that best describe the various parts of the selected variants. It is noteworthy that despite the holistic applicability that the ELECTRA solutions present, namely implementation of the variants at any level (transmission or distribution), the analysis here in combination with the diagrams emphasize mainly the distributed aspect because, first and foremost, in the ELECTRA view this will be the norm in the future (2030+) power systems' operation and, also because the analysis of this use case variants is based on the assumption of distributed implementation, with several interactions involving aggregators of resources.

In terms of timescale implementation the variants fall into short-term Operational Planning (phase 1) and intra-term Network Operation (for phase 2). Also, in order for the placement of functions and assumed components to be consistent with the approach, the various high-level use cases of

SGCG Standards Report are considered. The objective is for our analysis to identify similarities and implementation schemes that best fit our use case variants. There are three main areas or clusters of use cases relevant to the specific variants summarized below:

Advanced Distribution Management Systems (ADMS):

The main subset of functions for the implementation the two variants, namely Cell Operator, Policy Calculation, Cell State Estimation and Cell Imbalance Observer for BRC1.5 as well as Merit Order Building, BRC Controller, Reserve Volume Setpoint Provider, Cell Imbalance Observer and Cell State Estimation for BRC1.1 and 1.2 would most probably (but not exclusively) be located on the Advanced Distribution Management System without excluding the possible applicability to Energy Management Systems as well. Based on the SGCG Standards Report, the main features of an ADMS involve, among others, the following two functionalities that are highly relevant to the specific variant:

- SCADA real-time monitoring and control
- Advanced network applications including network modelling

In general, the system supports all kind of processes, from planning and design to the day-to-day operation and maintenance activities. It provides the operator and planner with the asset location and other relevant asset specifications and dimensions. The location is a particularly important aspect used explicitly in variant 1.5 as a piece of information exchanged between the Aggregator and the Cell Operator so that the latter, in cooperation with the Policy Calculation, can optimally dispatch reserves. The same aspect is also applicable although not explicitly described in the case of BRC1.1 and 1.2 for the Merit Order Building since location of reserves is crucial for maintaining a secure system state after reserves activation, which would otherwise lead to operating constraints' violations. The overall ADMS concept is assumed quite similar (though less advanced) to Transmission EMS, which is important due to the potential applicability of this and perhaps any other variant to transmission level as well.

Distributed Energy Resource Operation System (DER-OS):

BRC1.5 implementation involves the second important subset of functions that includes the Aggregator, the Resource Flexibility Calculator, and the Power Controller. Similarly, the use case variants BRC1.1 and BRC1.2 make use of a similar subset consisting of the Resource Flexibility Calculator, the Aggregator Reserves Controller, the Aggregator Reserve Bid Calculator and the Power Controller. By definition these functions involve power resources, power plants and Virtual Power Plants. Thus their deployment and the use case cluster of DER OS are alike. In general, this system is responsible for operation and enterprise level management of the DER assets. It performs supervision of the components, provides information to the operators and controls of actual generation. It can act as a technical VPP (tVPP) as well as commercial VPP (cVPP) interacting directly with the DSO and the Market, aspects both highly relevant to the specific variants. The system may control one or more DERs which can be geographically distributed. These DERs could be single generation plants or could be combined to VPPs.

Feeder Automation System:

In the specific use cases there is a third important subsystem is related to the Cell Imbalance Observer function. From the three variants, BRC1.1 and 1.2 make specific use of the function Cell Electrical Data Observer which is highly related to the Feeder Automation System. The same interrelation is implied, yet not explicitly described in the analysis of BRC1.5. In particular, in BRC1.5 (as in 1.1 and 1.2) the Cell Imbalance Observer is the function that measures deviation from the scheduled tie-lines set-points. In this respect the applicability of this functionality ought to

involve measurements of the power system flows at specific branches, from which the imbalance can be deduced. From the relevant clusters of use cases described in the SGCG Standards Report, the most representative one is the Feeder Automation System. In principle, this is mostly made of 3 zones, the process zone which, among others, includes measuring elements such as voltage and current transformers/sensors, the field zone including IEDs for monitoring, and last but not least, the station zone which involves aggregation of monitoring via Remote Terminal Units. The chain of the above described components is, in principle the Cell Electrical Data Observer of BRC1.1 and 1.2, the information feeder to the Cell Imbalance Observer (in both variants), which in turn, calculates the actual imbalance. However, in terms of mapping on the function layer, based on the variant description the Cell Imbalance Observer function is specifically located in the Operation zone and somewhere in between distribution and transmission, although, the association with the FAS implies that only MV is regarded.

3.5.2.1 Function Layer

Based on the above mentioned assumptions and the association of the variants' functions and interactions with the use case clusters of SGCG, the following two mappings of functions on the function layer are derived [\(Figure 40](#page-94-0) and [Figure 41\)](#page-95-0).

In these mappings, with the assumption that the procedure is focused on the distribution level implementation, the Cell State Estimation is located in the Operation zone, covering both distribution and DER domains, the Cell Imbalance Observer is also located in the Operation zone covering both distribution and transmission domains as it is possible for a (distribution level) cell to be interconnected to a transmission system (other cell). The Resource Flexibility Calculator and the Power Controller are both field-level functions with the latter much more close to the process zone. From the functions that are not common in the variants the Cell Operator and Policy Calculation functions for BRC1.5 are mainly located in the Operation Zone, covering also Enterprise aspects due to the use of geographical data (i.e. process of location of resources). The latter feature makes the use of a GIS component highly relevant. Last but not least, the Aggregator in the case of BRC1.5 is a function that addresses mainly Operation zone but involving also aspects of substation, thereby mapped to cover both zones. In principle, this actor may as well be considered as a Market-zone actor, due also to the fact that it participates in the procurement phase.

However, due to the fact that it incorporates real-time activation of reserves and because the realtime aspect is more important in the ELECTRA view, the mapping of the function in the Operation zone is more representative. This ambiguity is properly dealt with in BRC1.1 and1.2. In this variant, there are two distinct functions, namely the Aggregator Reserves Controller and the Aggregator Reserve Bid Calculator. The first one is clearly associated with the Operation zone, whereas the second represents the actions of the VPP in the Market/Enterprise zones. In addition, the functions Merit Order Building and Reserves Volume Setpoint Provider are associated with the Operation/Enterprise zones. Last but not least, the function Cell Electrical Data Observer is located in between the Operation and Substation zones, while it is concerned only with the distribution domain (if we assume that our cell is a MV/LV grid).

Figure 40 - Mapping of the BRC1.1 and 1.2 functions on the SGAM Function Layer

3.5.2.2 Component Layer

In terms of components, the possible implementation of the variants is generic allowing for different implementation options. However, the identification of standards, particularly at communication level, presumes the mapping of the use cases in terms of components, making some reasonable assumptions derived from the abovementioned associations with the use case clusters. Thus, in principle, the functions of the ADMS can be located on a SCADA system, which communicates with a GIS, the DER EMS (which represents the Aggregator's underlying function), the Application Server used as the cVPP application (BRC1.2) and the distributed infrastructure such as RTUs and IEDs used for monitoring cell power flows. With regard to the aggregation and resource functions, the exemplary component set involves power plant and DER controllers. The components' layer mapping for BRC1.1 and 1.2 in [Figure 42](#page-96-0) and for BRC1.5 is shown in [Figure 43.](#page-97-0)

Figure 42 - Mapping of the BRC1.1 and 2 exemplary set of components on the SGAM Components Layer

Figure 43 - Mapping of the BRC1.5 exemplary set of components on the SGAM Components Layer

[Table 1](#page-16-0) below summarizes the indicative set of components that are necessary for the deployment of both variants.

Table 14 - Overview of components used in the BRC variants

3.5.3 Relevant Standards - Information Layer

Based on the functions and components mapping described above, some subsets of information related standards can be specified. The overview of these standards is given in [Table 15](#page-100-0) and the mapping for BRC1.1 and 1.2 on the information layer is illustrated in [Figure 44](#page-99-0) and for BRC1.5 in [Figure 45](#page-100-1) respectively. It is evident that in terms of information exchange at the higher CTLs which regard the zones of operation and enterprise, the CIM family standards, in particular IEC 61968 and 61970, applies. A more extensive set of information standards applies to the functions that relate to aggregation and resources, due to the possible use of different types of resources, each one implementing different type of standards. For instance, IEC 61850-7-410 and 420 are both valid information standards since they cover different types of resources (Hydroelectric Power Plants and DER) both of which are valid resources in the specific variant. Also, it is worth noting that apart from the standards already existing, a subset of them includes coming ones, thus extending the relevant list. Last but not least, the participation of aggregation functions in the procurement phase, particularly specified in BRC1.1. and 1.2 and implicitly in BRC1.5, necessitate the involvement of information standards in the Market and Enterprise zones, which include, as shown in [Figure 45,](#page-100-1) IEC 62325, ENTSO-E Role Model, IEC 62351 and, as usual, IEC 61968 and 61970.

Figure 45 - Information layer and relevant standards for BRC1.5

Table 15 - Relevant Information Standards-overview

In relation to the specific pieces of information exchanged in the variant, [Table 16](#page-101-0) provides an association with the relevant list of standards shown above.

Table 16 - Association of Information Standards with Information Exchanges is BRC1.1 and 1.2

Table 17 - Association of Information Standards with Information Exchanges is BRC1.5

3.5.4 Relevant Standards-Communication Layer

Based on the components layer description the set of relevant standards for the specific variants are summarized in [Table 18](#page-104-0) and in [Figure 46](#page-105-0) and [Figure 47.](#page-106-0)

Table 18 - Relevant Communication Standards-Overview

Figure 47 - Communication layer and relevant standards for BRC1.5

3.6 Standard analysis for Balance Steering Control (BSC) use cases

3.6.1 The BSC use cases

Both B4.BSC.1.3.1 and B4.BSC.1.1.1 use cases consider the "Balance Steering Control" functions in charge of a "Cell Controller" role that seem close to the classic EMS/DMS functions.

Assuming that these functions are mainly located in the SGAM "Operations" zone, even if some specific aspect (e.g. Power scheduling, Energy Market management) could also interest the "Enterprise" and "Market" zone too, it could be useful to consider the standard solution proposed for this area both by IEC [32] and SG-CG [9].

The suggested IEC system integration solution in the "Operation" zone is the CIM based IEC-61968 standard series, while the specific EMS/DMS related functions could be mapped both on IEC-61970 and IEC-61968 standard series.

Figure 48 - Related standards in the IEC mapping

The SG-CG analysis confirms this vision identifying the IEC-61968 and IEC-61970 standard as applicable for EMS/DMS related functionalities.

3.6.2 SGAM Mapping

3.6.3 The standard related to Network Analysis functions

As a preamble, it could be useful to consider that in the current approach the management of an electrical system is often based on "Network Operations" and "Operational Planning" activity. The definitions of these concepts could help in order to better identify the ICT standards framework related to the generic "Network Analysis" functions and more specifically to the "State Estimation" function.

The "Operational Planning" is considered as a forecast activity that aims at anticipating and resolving constraints situations in the network that would be difficult or impossible to resolve by "Network operation" in real time situation. The timescale covered by "Operational Planning" is typically a few hours, days or even weeks.

The "Network Operations" are the functions required in order to manage the network in real time.

Figure 51 - The time scale covered by operational planning is, typically, a few hours, a few days or even a few weeks

In order to analyse the standards related to the "State Estimation" function used in ELECTRA use cases, it could be useful to consider how the more generic "Network Analysis" functionality are managed by the IEC 61970 standard series.

More specifically the IEC 61970-456 part reports in its introduction: "This document describes an interface standard in which XML payloads are used to transfer initial conditions and results created during typical steady-state network analysis processes (e.g. state estimation or power flow solutions)".

This approach is synthesized in the picture below [Figure 52](#page-110-0) where the input/output interfaces of a generic "Network Analysis Function" [33], including "State Estimation", are defined by four type of dataset (profiles):

- Equipment *(EQ)*
	- o Power System Model, usually expressed as node-breaker network
- Steady State Hypothesis (SSH)
	- \circ Define a steady state condition for the network
	- \circ This condition could be related to the current time (for Network Operation objectives) or to a future time (for "Operational planning" purpose) (See "Other External Sources" box in the picture below)
- Topology (TP)
	- \circ Based on switch position, it defines the bus branch model of the network model and the related islands
- State Variables (SV)
	- \circ Contains the output of the Network Analysis (e.g. Bus voltage module and angle, P&Q of any branch (Terminal flows))

WG13 Ref Model for a Network Analysis Case

Figure 52 - Network analysis functions

The relationships between the different profiles are represented in the following figure.

Figure 53 - Relationships among profiles

This approach is also used in order to implement the ENTSO-E "Common Grid Model" [29] that is a European network model obtained by merging TSOs Individual Grid Model (IGM). These models and related states are defined in conformity with CGMES ("Common Grid Model Exchange Standard") that is a European standard derived from the above IEC 61970 profiles. The boundary points, representing the electrical equipment point (e.g. Terminal of a tie line) where two grids are

connecting to each other, have some analogies with the connection point between two ELECTRA Cells.

Also the data requirements related to the TSO-DSO information exchange described in "Generation and Load Data Provision Methodology" (from [29] pag.83) for both "Structural" and "Variable" information and the data requirements for "transmission system operation" objective (article 4 from [34]), seems suitable to be represented by the type of information defined in IEC 61970 series standards.

Figure 54 - The Common Grid Model (CGM) is the merging of all Individual Grid Models (IGMs) together (Stufkens, 2016)

The above described IEC 61970-456 standard part specifies the CIM information required in order to implement the payload that need to be serialized in accordance with IEC 61970-552.

The serialized XML payload could be then transported by an IEC 61968-100 standard compliant solution for systems integration, based on Web Services⁹.

Considering the future proof perspective of Electra, it could also be useful to consider some newer system integration technology, like AMQP protocol¹⁰, as alternative to Web Services solutions.

3.6.4 Relevant Standards - Information Layer

In the table below is reported a standards selection related to the information exchange of the B4.BSC.1.3.1 use case, considering the "State Estimation" standards previously described.

.

⁹ This solution is currently used by ENTSO-E members in order to exchange information related to "Electricity Market Transparency". See "140909 Data provider workshop - TOP 4 ECP-WS DP Presentation.pdf" extracted from [https://www.entsoe.eu/Documents/MC%20documents/Transparency%20Platform/Information_for_Data_Providers/14090](https://www.entsoe.eu/Documents/MC%20documents/Transparency%20Platform/Information_for_Data_Providers/140909_ENTSOE_Second_Transparency_Workshop_for_Data_Providers_Presentations.zip) 9 ENTSOE Second Transparency Workshop for Data Providers Presentations.zip and and <https://transparency.entsoe.eu/>

This system integration option is currently under consideration by ENTSO-E for future OPDE ("Operational Planning Data Environment")

Considering that the B4.BSC.1.1.1 use case contains a subset of the information exchanges related to B4.BSC.1.3.1 use case, the below standard mapping is also valid for the B4.BSC.1.1.1 use case.

The use case description doesn't include the information exchange between any of the actors considered in the use case and field devices in order to collect measurements/state or to send Setpoints.

Consequently the analysis is based on the hypothesis that the actors/functions will exchange State/Measurements information linked to network topology element (e.g. Active Power related to a tie line terminal, Voltage on a Busbar, ...) that are already in their disposal.

Table 19 - Information exchanged extracted from B4.BSC.1.3.1

In order to use IEC 61970-456 part, it's also necessary to use IEC 61970-452 in order to specify the grid model by the "Equipment" profile.

All the information exchanges should be transported by IEC 61968-100 compliant solution.

3.7 Standard analysis for Primary Voltage Control (PVC) and Post Primary Voltage Control (PPVC) use cases

3.7.1 The PVC and PPVC use cases

Basing on the use case (UC) descriptions introduced in the deliverable D4.2 [31], it is necessary to determine the most possible component (engineering) arrangement in order to proceed to the SGAM Component Layer diagram and in the next steps to the SGGAM Function and Communication Layers diagrams [7].

Detailed use case descriptions of the Primary Voltage Control (PVC) and the Post-Primary Voltage Control (PPVC) are included in the D4.2 deliverable. Considering the SGAM Function Layer one can see that both voltage control schemes proposed by ELECTRA Project for the WoC concept are described by two sequence diagrams – see [Figure 55](#page-114-0) for PVC and [Figure 56](#page-114-1) for PPVC.

Setting voltage setpoints and control parameters for resources taking part in PVC

Figure 56 - The sequence diagram of the PPVC (see D4.2 [31])

3.7.2 SGAM Mapping

3.7.2.1 Function layer

Basing on these two sequence diagrams one can locate PVC and PPVC actors on the SGAM Function Layer as it is shown in [Figure 57.](#page-115-0)

Figure 57 - PVC and proactive PPVC use cases - assignment of actors to SGAM coordinates on the Function Layer

All lines shown in [Figure 57](#page-115-0) represent streams of information (data) objects which are defined by both sequence diagrams (see [Figure 55](#page-114-0) and [Figure 56\)](#page-114-1).

The blue arcs represent data streams which are necessary to determine the cell state estimator – this is the Operation zone and the Transmission and Distribution domains (the definition of the cell is so general that both transmission distribution networks fragments can be potentially simultaneously covered by the hypothetical cell area).

The red arcs represent streams of data objects sent between the centrally located PPVC (Operation zone) and the PVCs which are located directly with generation sources (Process zone).

3.7.2.2 Component layer

Now, looking at [Figure 57,](#page-115-0) one can imagine the possible and perhaps the most probable solution of the SGAM Component Layer for both PVC and PPVC. The result of this consideration can be shown also on the SGAM plane using the same coordinates – see [Figure 58.](#page-117-0)

Figure 58 - SGAM Component Layer for PVC and proactive PPVC use cases - assignment of components to SGAM coordinates at the possible SGAM Component Layer

The black lines in [Figure 58](#page-117-0) represent electrical connections, the red lines (as in [Figure 57\)](#page-115-0) represent streams of data objects sent to perform voltage control by PPVC (Operation zone), by PVCs (Process zone) and by reactive power control devices located within the cell (e.g. substation SCADAs can perform this task). Finally, blue lines represent data streams which are necessary to determine the cell state estimator and the resources status.

We assume that:

- 1. To perform his duties the Cell System Operator (CSO) is equipped with a SCADA/EMS system. The following UC actors (shown in [Figure 57\)](#page-115-0) can be considered as particular CSO SCADA/EMS functions:
	- Observer (Cell Observing) SCADA,
	- Forecasting (relevant only for proactive PPVC) SCADA,
	- Resource State Information Provider SCADA,
	- Cell State Estimation EMS.

The CSO SCADA/EMS system is located in the area defined by Transmission/Distribution domains and Operation zone since in general the CSO has to control both transmission and distribution network covered by a given cell.

- 2. DERs are supervised by Aggregator DER Management System (DER EMS) that is located in the area of DER domain and Operation zone.
- 3. Big (bulk) generation objects (i.e. power plants) including big wind farms with their substations connecting them to the grid are located in the area of Generation domain.
- 4. The CSO SCADA/EMS has to work on data (i.e. on information objects) received in realtime form all remote cell components like:
	- Substation SCADAs (which receive data from IEDs located in the area of substation busbars of Field zone) or substation RTUs both located in the area of Generation/Transmission/Distribution domains and Station zone.
	- Aggregator DER Management System (DER EMS) gathering data from DERs it is located in the area of DER domain and Operation zone.
	- WAMS (Wide Area Measurement Systems) based on PMUs (Phasor Measurement Unit) and PDCs (Phasor Data Concentrators) - PMUs and substation PDCs are located in the area of Generation/Transmission domains and Field/Station zones. The central PDC is located close to the CSO SCADA/EMS.
	- AMI (Advanced Metering Infrastructure) that is a source of LV network data (e.g. quasi-real-time voltages within the LV distribution network). The AMI data can be obtained directly from AMI Head-End system located in the area of Distribution domain and Operation zone.
- 5. PVC equipment is integrated with generation equipment so it is located in the area of Generation or DER domains and Process zone.
- 6. PPVC is a central controller located similarly as the CSO SCADA/EMS in the area of Operation zone and additionally covering Generation and DER zones. The PPVC sends data to PVCs either directly or through substation SCADA (as in the case of wind farms) or through DER Aggregator. It is also a controller for reactive power control devices located within the cell, in particular in substations.

To recapitulate [Table 20](#page-119-0) contains definitions of the components and functions mapped on them.

Table 20 - Definitions of the components and functions mapped on them

Comparing [Figure 57](#page-115-0) and [Figure 58](#page-117-0) one can notice that the relevant problem of gathering and collecting remote real-time data to be used by the CSO SCADA/EMS needs some additional components (with blue interfaces) shown in [Figure 58.](#page-117-0) The respective actors are not present in [Figure 57](#page-115-0) since it is assumed that all necessary real-time data are available for Observer (Cell Observing), Forecasting, Resource State Information Provider and Cell State Estimation actors. But in practice for all "blue interfaces" shown in [Figure 58](#page-117-0) standards are needed both for

transmitted data models (SGAM Information Layer) and for communication protocols (SGAM Communication Layer).

3.7.3 Relevant Standards - Information and Communication Layer

Basing on the use case (UC) descriptions introduced in the deliverable D4.2 [31], it is necessary to determine the most possible component (engineering) arrangement in order to proceed to the SGAM Component Layer diagram and in the next steps to the SGAM Function and Communication Layers diagrams [7].

One can assume that there are *n* PVC controllers in a given cell. They are distributed in the cell with respect to the location of the central Post Primary Voltage Controller of the cell. In general each PVC is distant with respect to the central PPVC. Thus, a data communication solution has to be employed in each particular case.

Looking at the PVC sequence diagram [\(Figure 55\)](#page-114-0) one can see that each PVC receives from PPVC the following signals:

- The voltage setpoint (IEX 01);
- The set of PVC parameters (IEX_02) which are not precisely defined in the deliverable D4.2 since there is a broad range of possible PVCs coupled with different sources of generation (bulk synchronous generation, wind farms, PV DERs etc.).

Considering the defined set of information objects (i.e. IEX 01 and IEX 02) it seems that to solve the data communication problem it would be possible to apply IEC 60870-5-104 standard ("Telecontrol equipment and systems. Part 5-104: Transmission protocols – Network access for IEC 60870-5-101 using standard transport profiles"). To implement IEC 60870-5-104 standard the TCP/IP protocol stack is recommended. Therefore, this standard can be applied for both wired and wireless communications media. The standard covers both Information and Communication SGAM Layers since it defines both information objects and application OSI layer protocol for the transmission of these objects.

Looking at the assumed SGAM Component Layer diagram shown in [Figure 57,](#page-115-0) one can assume that PPVC central controller can be located at the CSO premises together with the CSO SCADA/EMS. On the other hand, the Aggregator DER Management System (DER EMS) can be located not necessarily at the CSO premises – in general the SCADA system that represents the Aggregator can be located somewhere within the considered cell, say in one of substations which belong to the cell.

Both components the CSO SCADA/EMS and the Aggregator have to work on data (i.e. on information objects) received in real-time form all remote cell objects like substation busbars, DER controllers, etc. Thus, the real-time data sources will be substation SCADAs or RTU systems, substation IED devices, substation PMU (Phasor Measurement Units) or PDC (Phasor Data Concentrators) devices, DER remote controllers, AMI Head-End systems.

Therefore, the whole set of information objects which are incoming to the CSO SCADA/EMS component are represented by the following information objects represented in the considered PPVC sequence diagram (see [Figure 56\)](#page-114-1) by:

 The **1. INT.OP (IEX_01)** coupled with the Observer actor – real time electrical (V, I, P, Q, f, df/dt, tap changer positions, switching element positions) and non-electrical (temperature, humidity, etc.) measurements from subst. SCADA/RTUs, IEDs, PMU/PDCs, AMI Head-End systems, DER controllers, neighboring CSO SCADA/EMS, including both analog and digital data,

- The **3. INT.OP (IEX 03)** information objects coupled with Forecasting actor will contain real time measurements (that are included in IEX_01) and also historical data stored in the local CSO SCADA/EMS system,
- the **11. INT.OP (IEX_09)** information objects coupled with Resource Status Information Provider actor (PPVC reserves – Q, their availability and location of the resource).

Moreover, the real time measurements from distributed DERs gathered by the Aggregator component have to be delivered form the Aggregator to the CSO SCADA/EMS (see [Figure 58\)](#page-117-0).

Therefore, one can notice that the data communication solutions are needed to get all required real-time data by the CSO SCADA/EMS cell component from different remote locations (where other components are present):

- Substation SCADA/RTUs,
- Substation IEDs.
- Substation PMU/PDCs,
- AMI Head-End system concentrating data from AMI meters and AMI data concentrators (LV and MV distribution network area),
- Aggregator DER Management System (s),

To perform the required information object transmission the following standards could be used:

A1. **IEC 60870-5-104 "**Telecontrol equipment and systems. Part 5-104: Transmission protocols – Network access for IEC 60870-5-101 using standard transport profiles" [35] (the standard is suitable for both Information and Communication SGAM Layers) to get real-time data from:

- Substation SCADA/RTUs.
- Aggregator DER Management System (DER EMS),
- DERs;

A2. **IEC TR 61850-90-2 Ed1**. "Communication Networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 90-2: Using IEC 61850 for communication between substations and control centres" [36] – this technical report can be used to determine the substitute IEC 61850 solution (with respect to IEC 60870-5-104) suitable for both Information and Communication SGAM Layers) to get real-time data from:

- Substation SCADA/RTUs,
- Aggregator DER Management System (DER EMS).

B1. **IEC 61850-7-2 Ed2.** "Communication Networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 7-2: Basic information and communication structure – Abstract Communication service interface (ACSI)" [37] (the standard is suitable for Information SGAM Layer);

B2. **IEC 61850-7-3 Ed2.** "Communication Networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 7-3: Basic communication structure – Common data classes" [38] (the standard is suitable for Information SGAM Layer);

B3. **IEC 61850-7-4 Ed2.** "Communication Networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 7-4: Basic communication structure – Compatible logical node classes and data object classes" [39] (the standard is suitable for Information SGAM Layer);

B4. **IEC 61850-8-1 Ed2.** "Communication Networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 8-1: Specific communication service mapping (SCSM) – Mappings to MMS (ISO 9506-1 and ISO 9506-2) and to ISO/IEC 8802-3" [40] (the standard is suitable for Communication SGAM Layer);

to get real-time data from IED devices in substations and also to get data from substation SCADA/RTUs and Aggregator DER Management System (DER EMS) in the case when IEC 61850 solution will be used according to IEC TR 61850-90-2 rules instead of using IEC 60870-5- 104;

C1. **IEEE Std C37.118.2™-2011:** IEEE Standard for Synchrophasor Data Transfer for Power Systems (Revision of IEEE Std C37.118™-2005). IEEE Power & Energy Society. Sponsored by the Power System Relaying Committee [41] (the standard is suitable for both Information and Communication SGAM Layers) to get real-time data from:

- \bullet the central PDC.
- substation PDCs.
- substation PMUs:

C2. **IEC TR 61850-90-5 Ed1**. "Communication Networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 90-5: Use of IEC 61850 to transmit synchrophasor information according to IEEE C37.118", 2012 [42] – this technical report can be used to determine the substitute IEC 61850 solution (with respect to IEEE Std C37.118.2) suitable for both Information and Communication SGAM Layers) to get real-time data from:

- \bullet the central PDC.
- substation PDCs.
- substation PMUs;

D1. **IEC 61968-100:** "Application integration at electric utilities - System interfaces for distribution management - Part 100: Implementation profiles" [43] (the standard is suitable for the SGAM Communication Layer) and

D2. **IEC 61968-9:** "Application integration at electric utilities - System interfaces for distribution management - Part 9: Interfaces for meter reading and control" [44] (the standard is suitable for the SGAM Information Layer) to get real-time or near-real-time data from:

• AMI Head-End systems;

Going further on and still looking at the proactive PPVC sequence diagram [\(Figure 57\)](#page-115-0) one can notice that the PPVC controller component is represented by two actors:

- PPVC Controlling,
- PPVC Setpoint Providing.

The information objects which are needed by PPVC to perform its functions are represented by:

- The **4. GET Estimated Cell State (IEX_07)** the information objects which represent estimated cell state that is offered by Cell State Estimation actor to PPVC Controlling actor;
- The **12. GET Request signal for PPVC resource information (IEX_08)** the trigger signal to get PPVC reserve activation from Resource Status Information Provider System.
- The 9. SHOW PPVC reserves info. (IEX 09) the information objects which represent reserves available for PPVC offered by Resource Status Information Provider actor to PPVC Setpoint Providing actor.

The output of the PPVC is transmitted by:

 The **12. SET PPVC reserve activation** (**IEX_11**) – the information objects which represent voltage setting points offered by PPVC Controlling actor to Resource Status Information Provider actor.

Considering the defined set of information objects (i.e. IEX_07, IEX_09, IEX_08, IEX_11) it seems that to solve the data communication problem it would be possible to apply:

A1. **IEC 60870-5-104 "Telecontrol equipment and systems. Part 5-104: Transmission protocols – Network access for IEC 60870-5-101 using standard transport profiles"** [35] (the standard is suitable for both Information and Communication SGAM Layers) to send between:

- CSO SCADA/EMS and PPVC controller this data is represented by data flows from:
	- o Cell State Estimation actor to PPVC Controlling actor (IEX_07);
	- o PPVC Controlling actor to Resource Status Information Provider actor (IEX_08);
	- o Resource Status Information Provider actor to PPVC Setpoint Providing actor (IEX_09);
	- o PPVC Controlling actor to Resource Status Information Provider actor and also to all PVCs and reactive power control devices (IEX_11).

Taking into account the selected standards suitable for the SGAM Information Layer it is possible to design the SGAM Information Layer diagram on the basis of the SGAM Component Layer shown in [Figure 58.](#page-117-0) The SGAM Information Layer diagram is presented in [Figure 59.](#page-124-0)

Figure 59 - SGAM Information Layer for PVC and proactive PPVC use cases - assignment of possible standards for information objects transmitted between components

Similarly, taking into account the selected standards suitable for the SGAM Communication Layer it is possible to design the SGAM Communication Layer diagram on the basis of the SGAM Component Layer shown in [Figure 58.](#page-117-0) The SGAM Communication Layer diagram is presented in [Figure 60.](#page-126-0)

Figure 60 - SGAM Communication Layer for PVC and proactive PPVC use cases - assignment of possible standards for communication solutions between components

Taking into account the presented considerations it is possible now to supplement the "Information exchanged" tables introduced in the deliverable D4.2 by adding suggested standards for both SGAM Information and Communication Layers.

In case of PVC the "Information exchanged" table is shown as [Table 21.](#page-127-0)

Table 21 - PVC - Information exchanged

In case of PPVC the supplemented "Information exchanged" table is shown as [Table 22.](#page-127-1)

Table 22 - PPVC - Information exchanged

3.7.4 Conclusions

The performed analysis of PVC and PPVC use cases shows that the following standards and reports should be recommended for further assessment using EAT-SGIS tool:

- 1. IEC 60870-5-104: "Telecontrol equipment and systems. Part 5-104: Transmission protocols – Network access for IEC 60870-5-101 using standard transport profiles", 2006;
- 2. IEC 61850-7-2 Ed2.: "Communication Networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 7-2: Basic information and communication structure – Abstract Communication service interface (ACSI)", 2010;
- 3. IEC 61850-7-3 Ed2.: "Communication Networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 7-3: Basic communication structure – Common data classes", 2010;
- 4. IEC 61850-7-4 Ed2.: "Communication Networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 7-4: Basic communication structure – Compatible logical node classes and data object classes", 2010;

- 5. IEC 61850-8-1 Ed2.: "Communication Networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 8-1: Specific communication service mapping (SCSM) – Mappings to MMS (ISO 9506-1 and . ISO 9506-2) and to ISO/IEC 8802-3", 2011;
- 6. IEEE Std C37.118.2™-2011: "IEEE Standard for Synchrophasor Data Transfer for Power Systems (Revision of IEEE Std C37.118™-2005)". IEEE Power & Energy Society. Sponsored by the Power System Relaying Committee, 2011;
- 7. IEC 61968-100: "Application integration at electric utilities System interfaces for distribution management - Part 100: Implementation profiles", 2013;
- 8. IEC 61968-9: "Application integration at electric utilities System interfaces for distribution management - Part 9: Interfaces for meter reading and control", 2013;
- 9. IEC TR 61850-90-2 Ed1. "Communication Networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 90-2: Using IEC 61850 for communication between substations and control centres", 2016;
- 10. IEC TR 61850-90-5 Ed1. "Communication Networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 90-5: Use of IEC 61850 to transmit synchrophasor information according to IEEE C37.118", 2012.

3.8 Gap analysis

The previous analysis gave very good results putting in evidence that the information exchange needed by the ELECTRA use cases are completely covered by the existing standards.

What can be collected in the gap analysis are just some possible (end not necessarily critical) issues in the selected standards. The resulting list of gaps comes from three sources:

- Some issues highlighted by the mapping phase documented in the previous paragraphs
- Some gaps in the selected standards highlighted by the "Standardisation Gaps Prioritisation for the Smart Grid" document by the SGCG [45]
- Some issues resulting by the standard assessment phase (that is the application of the assessment tool, documented in the attached appendix).

Table 23 - List of possible gaps

4 Future perspectives related to ICT solution applicable to the Smart Grid domain

Considering that the selected ICT standards necessarily refer to existing technological solution, it's useful to provide some perspective related to future ICT solution for the Smart Grid.

It's presumable that the future implementation of the Internet of Thing (IoT) will also affect the energy domain.

The IoT implementation will require new approach for the communication architecture that by 5G technology¹¹ will focalize on the infrastructure edge, enabling different solutions like Fog Computing (FC), Software Defined Networking (SDN), Network Functions Virtualization (NFV), Mobile Edge Computing (MEC).

An example of IoT approach applied to Smart Grid domain is represented by the OpenFMB™ initiative¹² that offers a framework for distributed intelligent nodes interacting with each other at the grid edge, through loosely coupled peer to peer messaging.

Open Field Message Bus™ is based on a single unified information model compatible with the IEC 61850/61968/61970 data models and IoT communication protocols (e.g. DDS, AMQP, MQTT) that could fit well with 5G technology. The clear distinction between the Information and Communication layer, in line with the SGAM and IEC approach, will facilitate the adoption of new emerging communication technology.

A further ICT topic that could represent a key able to boost new business models¹³ is the blockchain technology that provides a way to register any transaction without the need of a central authority.

The blockchain is an immutable public record of data secured by a network of peer to peer participants where, once an entry is made, it cannot be removed or edited by anyone.

Traditionally, exchanges require an intermediary such as broker/clearing housing to match buyers and sellers. With the blockchain orders to buy and sell are matched and executed without the need for any third party arbiter because the network acts as a validator.

This technology could facilitate business model where every smart device in the "Internet of Thing" become an autonomous actor in a global data market.

An energy domain application example could be represented by a recently developed smartplug that leverages blockchain technology to seek the lowest tariffs available, thereby saving money by lowering electricity costs wherever possible¹⁴.

[.] ¹¹ <https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/towards-5g>

¹² <http://www.sgip.org/openfmb/>

¹³ http://www.huffingtonpost.com/don-tapscott/what-you-need-to-know-abo_b_10264706.html [,](https://www.abe-eba.eu/downloads/knowledge-and-research/EBA_20150511_EBA_Cryptotechnologies_a_major_IT_innovation_v1_0.pdf) [https://www.abe-](https://www.abe-eba.eu/downloads/knowledge-and-research/EBA_20150511_EBA_Cryptotechnologies_a_major_IT_innovation_v1_0.pdf)

[eba.eu/downloads/knowledge-and-research/EBA_20150511_EBA_Cryptotechnologies_a_major_IT_innovation_v1_0.pdf](https://www.abe-eba.eu/downloads/knowledge-and-research/EBA_20150511_EBA_Cryptotechnologies_a_major_IT_innovation_v1_0.pdf) <http://www.bbc.com/news/technology-35604674>

5 References

- [1] ISO/IEC, "ISO/IEC 42010 Systems Engineering Architecture description," ISO/IEC, 2011.
- [2] IEEE, IEEE Standard Computer Dictionary: A Compilation of IEEE Standard Computer Glossaries, Inst of Elect & Electronic, 1991.
- [3] CEN-CENELEC-ETSI, "Methodologies to facilitate Smart Grid System Interoperability through standardizationm system, design and testing," CEN-CENELEC-ETSI Smart Grid Coordination Group, 2014.
- [4] P. D. S. A. F. C. N. N. G. Arianna Brutti, "Standard for eBusiness in SMEs networks: the increasing role of customization rules and conformance testing tools to achieve interoperability," Stockholm, 2011.
- [5] ISO, "Standards," [Online]. Available: http://www.iso.org/iso/home/standards.htm. [Accessed 17 12 2015].
- [6] EC Directorate-General for Energy, "Smart Grid Mandate," European Commission, Brussels, 2011.
- [7] SGCG, "Smart Grid Reference Architecture," CEN-CENELEC-ETSI Smart Grid Coordination Group, 2012.
- [8] SGCG, "First Set of Standards," CEN-CENELEC-ETSI Smart Grid Coordination Group, 2012.
- [9] SGCG, "SGCG/M490/G_Smart Grid Set of Standards," CEN-CENELEC-ETSI Smart Grid Coordination Group , 2014.
- [10] C. Neureiter, "Flexible Loads SGAM Toolbox Reference Example," Josef Ressel Center for User-Centric Privacy, Security and Control, Salzburg (AU), 2013.
- [11] C. Brunner, "IEC 61850 & Smart Grids," 2013. [Online]. Available: http://www.pacw.org/issue/september_2013_issue/iec_61850_update/the_semantic_data_m odel/complete_article/1.html. [Accessed 15 12 2015].
- [12] H. E. H. Dawidczak, "Integration of DER systems into the Electrical Power System with a generic IEC 61850 interface," Berlin, Germany, 2013.
- [13] A. K. Srinath Balaraman, "An overview of ZigBee's Smart Energy Profile 2.0 standard," 2013. [Online]. Available: http://www.eetimes.com/document.asp?doc_id=1280846&page_number=2. [Accessed 15 12 2015].
- [14] ZigBee Alliance, "Smart Energy Profile 2," 2014. [Online]. Available: http://old.zigbee.org/Standards/ZigBeeSmartEnergy/SmartEnergyProfile2.aspx. [Accessed 15 12 2015].
- [15] OpenADR Alliance, "IEC Approves OpenADR Specification," 2014. [Online]. Available: http://www.openadr.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=92:iec-approves-

openadr-specification&catid=21:press-releases&Itemid=121. [Accessed 15 12 2015].

- [16] OASIS, "Energy Market Information Exchange (EMIX) Version 1.0," 2012. [Online]. Available: http://docs.oasis-open.org/emix/emix/v1.0/cs02/emix-v1.0-cs02.html. [Accessed 15 12 2015].
- [17] G. A. McNaughton, "How MultiSpeak® Supports Interoperability of Diverse Grid Automation Systems," MultiSpeak Initiativ, 2007. [Online]. Available: http://www.multispeak.org/about/Presentations/Documents/McNaughton_Grid_Interop11080 7.pdf. [Accessed 07 07 2014].
- [18] SGCG, "Methodologies to achieve Smart Grid system interoperability through 9 standardization, system design and testing - Intermediate report," SGCG, 2013.
- [19] D. C. B. V. Nicolas Daclin, "Enterprise interoperability measurement Basic concepts," Conference: EMOI - INTEROP'06, Luxembourg, 2006.
- [20] The GridWise Architecture Council, "Smart Grid Interoperability Maturity Model," GridWise Architecture Council, 2011.
- [21] European Commission, "CAMSS: Common Assessment Method for Standards and Specifications," January 2010. [Online]. Available: http://ec.europa.eu/idabc/en/document/7407.html.
- [22] The European Parliament and the Council, "DECISION No 922/2009/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 16 September 2009 on interoperability solutions for European public administrations (ISA)," Official Journal of the European Union , 2009.
- [23] European Commission , "Action 23," June 2013. [Online]. Available: https://ec.europa.eu/digital-agenda/en/pillar-ii-interoperability-standards/action-23-provideguidance-ict-standardisation-and-public.
- [24] CEN-CENELEC-ETSI Smart Grid Coordination Group, "Smart Grid Reference Architecture," SGCG, 2012.
- [25] CEN-CENELEC-ETSI Smart Grid Coordination Group, "First Set of Standards," 2012.
- [26] U.S. Department of Energy, "Smart Grid Research & Development Multi-Year Program Plan 2010-2014," U.S. DoE, 2010.
- [27] IEC, "Core IEC Smart Grid Standards," 2016. [Online]. Available: http://www.iec.ch/smartgrid/standards/.
- [28] ENTSOE, "Common Information Model (CIM)," 2015. [Online]. Available: https://www.entsoe.eu/major-projects/common-information-model-cim/Pages/default.aspx.
- [29] D. Stufkens, "Common Grid Model Methodology Generation and Load Data Provision Methodology," 18 02 2016. [Online]. Available: https://consultations.entsoe.eu/systemoperations/common-gridmodel/supporting_documents/CGMMGLDPMM%2020160218%20%20External%20Worksho p%20final.pdf.
- [30] ENTSOE, "Substation Automation The IEC61850 Standard," 2016. [Online]. Available:

https://www.entsoe.eu/about-entso-e/research-anddevelopment/standardisation/IEC61850/Pages/default.aspx.

- [31] ELECTRA IRP project no. 609687, "D4.2 Description of the detailed functional architecture of the frequency and voltage control solution (functional and information layer)," FP7- ENERGU-3013-IRP, 2016.
- [32] IEC, "Architecture View," 2016. [Online]. Available: http://smartgridstandardsmap.com/.
- [33] EPRI, "Using the Common Information Model for Network Analysis Data Management: A CIM Primer Series Guide," 2014.
- [34] European Commission, "COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) establishing a guideline on transmission system operation - Draft version," 2015.
- [35] IEC, "IEC 60870-5-104:2006 Telecontrol equipment and systems Part 5-104: Transmission protocols - Network access for IEC 60870-5-101 using standard transport profiles," IEC, 2006.
- [36] IEC, "IEC TR 61850-90-2 Ed1. "Communication Networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 90-2: Using IEC 61850 for communication between substations and control centres"," IEC, 2016.
- [37] IEC, "IEC 61850-7-2 Ed2. "Communication Networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 7-2: Basic information and communication structure – Abstract Communication service interface (ACSI)"," IEC, 2010.
- [38] IEC Communication networks and systems for power utility automation Part 7-3: Basic communication structure - Common data classes, "IEC 61850-7-3," 2010.
- [39] IEC, "IEC 61850-7-4 Ed2. "Communication Networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 7-4: Basic communication structure – Compatible logical node classes and data object classes"," IEC, 2010.
- [40] IEC, ". IEC 61850-8-1 Ed2. "Communication Networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 8-1: Specific communication service mapping (SCSM) – Mappings to MMS (ISO 9506-1 and ISO 9506-2) and to ISO/IEC 8802-3"," IEC, 2011.
- [41] IEEE, "C37.118.2-2011: IEEE Standard for Synchrophasor Data Transfer for Power Systems," IEEE Power & Energy Society, 2011.
- [42] IEC, "IEC TR 61850-90-5 Ed1. "Communication Networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 90-5: Use of IEC 61850 to transmit synchrophasor information according to IEEE C37.118," IEC, 2012.
- [43] IEC, "IEC 61968-100: "Application integration at electric utilities System interfaces for distribution management - Part 100: Implementation profiles"," IEC, 2013.
- [44] IEC, "IEC 61968-9: "Application integration at electric utilities System interfaces for distribution management - Part 9: Interfaces for meter reading and control"," IEC, 2013.
- [45] SGCG, "Standardisation Gaps Prioritisation for the Smart Grid," SGCG, 2013.
- [46] Wikipedia, "IEC 61968," 2015. [Online]. Available: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/IEC_61968.

[Accessed 15 12 2015].

- [47] European Commission, "The IDABC programme," [Online]. Available: http://ec.europa.eu/idabc/en/chapter/3.html. [Accessed 2014].
- [48] A. Layne-Farrar, A. J. Padilla and R. Schmalensee, " "Pricing Patents for Licensing in Standard-Setting Organizations: Making Sense of FRAND Commitments"," Antitrust Law Journal 74: 671., 2007.

6 Disclaimer

The ELECTRA project is co-funded by the European Commission under the $7th$ Framework Programme 2013.

The sole responsibility for the content of this publication lies with the authors. It does not necessarily reflect the opinion of the European Commission.

The European Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.

7 Annex: list of standards evaluated with the EAT-SGIS tool

The complete Annex with all the evaluation of standards is provided in a separated document for space reasons. In any case the complete list of evaluated standards is the following:

Table 24 - list of evaluated standards

Project No. 609687 FP7-ENERGY-2013-IRP

ELECTRA

European Liaison on Electricity Committed Towards long-term Research Activities for Smart Grids

WP 4 Fully Interoperable Systems

Annex to Deliverable D4.3 Evaluated standards

20/01/2017

Authors

Copyright

© Copyright 2013-2017 The ELECTRA Consortium

Consisting of:

This document may not be copied, reproduced, or modified in whole or in part for any purpose without written permission from the ELECTRA Consortium. In addition to such written permission to copy, reproduce, or modify this document in whole or part, an acknowledgment of the authors of the document and all applicable portions of the copyright notice must be clearly referenced.

All rights reserved.

This document may change without notice.

Executive summary

This D4.3 Annex provides the evaluation of standards got by the application of the ELECTRA Assessment Tool for Smart Grid Interface Standards (EAT-SGIS) to the information and communication layer standards identified in the D4.3 Analysis.

The list of the evaluated standards, chosen mainly on the base of the result of mapping between ELECTRA use case and the Smart Grid standards, is the following:

Abbreviations

Table of contents

List of figures and tables

Introduction

This is the Annex to the D4.3 of the ELECTRA project.

It contains the assessments of the standards identified in the D4.3 as potentially useful for the ELECTRA use cases. These assessments have been produced using the ELECTRA Assessment Tool for Smart Grid Interface Standards (EAT-SGIS), designed and implemented within this task. Please, for all the details look at the D4.3 Deliverable.

IEC 60870-5-104: Transmission Protocols - Network access for IEC 60870-5-101 using standard transport profiles

Reference [IEC 60870-5-104:2006 Telecontrol equipment and systems - Part 5-104:

Figure 1 - Summary of IEC 60870-5-104 Evaluation

Additional information about the standard IEC 60870-5-104 from IEC TC 57

The standard IEC 60870-5-104 defines the use of an open TCP/IP-interface to a network, containing for example a LAN for telecontrol equipment, which transports IEC 60870-5-101 ASDUs (Application Service Data Units). This means that application layer of IEC 60870-5-104 is preserved same as that of IEC 60870- 5-101 with some of the data types and facilities not used. The basic application protocol functions are as follows:

- -station initialization,
- -data acquisition by polling,
- -cyclic data transmission,
- -acquisition of events,
- -general interrogation,
- -clock synchronization.

The standard defines the process and system information objects which can be transmitted in the monitor direction and in the control direction. The main information objects of IEC 60870-5-104 are as follows:

- 1. **Process information in monitor direction**: single-point information, double-point information, step position information, bitstring of 32 bits, measured value (normalized value), measured value (scaled value), measured value (short floating point number), integrated totals, packed single-point information with status change detection, measured value (normalized value without quality descriptor), single-point information with time tag, double-point information with time tag, step position information with time tag, bitstring of 32 bit with time tag, measured value (normalized value) with time tag, measured value (scaled value) with time tag, measured value (short floating point number) with time tag, integrated totals with time tag, event of protection equipment with time tag, packed start events of protection equipment with time tag, packed output circuit information of protection equipment with time tag,
- 2. **Process information in control direction**: single command, double command, regulating step command, set point command (normalized value), set point command (scaled value), set point command (short floating point number), bitstring of 32 bits, reserved for further compatible definitions, single command with time tag, double command with time tag, regulating step command with time tag, set point command (normalized value) with time tag, set point command (scaled value) with time tag, set point command (short floating-point number) with time tag, bitstring of 32 bits with time tag,
- 3. **System information in monitor direction**: end of initialization,
- 4. **System information in control direction**: interrogation command, counter interrogation command, read command, clock synchronization command, reset process command, test command with time tag.

Additional information about the standard IEC 60870-5-104 from IEC TC 57

Moreover the protocol enables to send **parameters in control direction**: parameter of measured value (normalized value), parameter of measured value (scaled value), parameter of measured value (short floating-point number), and parameter activation.

File transfer is also possible.

The standard considers multiple redundant connections established between the two communicating stations. The last clause describes the interoperability issues that arise when standby connections are used as redundant connections.

IEC 61850-7-2: Abstract communication service interface (ACSI) - Ed.2

[t.pdf](https://www.dke.de/de/std/informationssicherheit/documents/sgcg_standards_report.pdf)

studies on IEC 61850] +

[https://www.dke.de/de/std/informationssicherheit/documents/sgcg_standards_repor](https://www.dke.de/de/std/informationssicherheit/documents/sgcg_standards_report.pdf)

[Minimum common specification for substation protection and control equipment in accordance with the IEC 61850 standard. Group of Spanish Electricity Companies for

[http://www.cired.net/publications/cired2011/part1/papers/CIRED2011 0422final.pdf](http://www.cired.net/publications/cired2011/part1/papers/CIRED2011%200422final.pdf)

Figure 2 - Summary of IEC 61850-7-2 Evaluation

Additional information about the standard IEC 61850-7-2 from IEC TC 57

This part (7-2) of IEC 61850 applies to the Abstract Communication Service Interface (ACSI) communication for utility automation. The ACSI provides the following abstract communication service interfaces.

- a) Abstract interface describing communications between a client and a remote server for
- real-time data access and retrieval,
- device control,
- event reporting and logging,
- setting group control,
- self-description of devices (device data dictionary),
- data typing and discovery of data types, and
- file transfer.

b) Abstract interface for fast and reliable system-wide event distribution between an application in one device and many remote applications in different devices (publisher/sub-scriber) and for transmission of sampled measured values (publisher/subscriber).This part of IEC 61850 applies to the ACSI communication for utility automation. The ACSI provides the following abstract communication service interfaces.

Part 7-2 of IEC 61850 defines mainly the meta model for the whole IEC 61850 standard series. The meta model comprises classes for the description of a device with regard to data models and information exchange. The following overall classes are defined:

a) Server – represents the external visible behaviour of a device. All other ACSI models are

part of the server.

NOTE A server has two roles: to communicate with a client (most service models in IEC 61850 provide communication with client devices) and to send information to peer devices (for example, for sampled values).

b) Logical device (LD) – represents the information produced and consumed by a group of domain-specific

Additional information about the standard IEC 61850-7-2 from IEC TC 57

application functions.

c) Logical node (LN) – contains the information produced and consumed by a single domain-specific application function, for example, overvoltage protection or circuitbreaker.

d) Data objects – provide means to define typed information, for example, position of a switch with quality information and timestamp, contained in logical nodes.

Each of these models is defined as a class. The classes comprise attributes and services. In addition to the models listed above, the ACSI comprises the following models that provide services operating on data objects, data attributes, and data sets.

a) Data Set – permits the grouping of data objects and data attributes. Used for direct access, for reporting, logging, GOOSE messaging and sampled value exchange.

b) Substitution – supports replacement of a process value by another value.

c) Setting group control – defines how to switch from one set of setting values to another one and how to edit setting groups.

d) Report control and logging – describe the conditions for generating reports and logs based on parameters set by configuration or by a client. Reports may be triggered by

changes of process data values (for example, state change or dead band) or by quality changes. Logs can be queried for later retrieval. Reports may be sent immediately or

deferred. Reports provide change-of-state and sequence-of-events information exchange.

e) Control blocks for generic substation event (GSE) – supports a fast and reliable system-wide distribution of input and output data values; peer-to-peer exchange of IED

binary status information, for example, a trip signal.

f) Control blocks for transmission of sampled values – fast and cyclic transfer of samples, for example, of instrument transformers.

g) Control – describes the services to control, for example, devices.

h) Time and time synchronization – provides the time base for the device and system.

i) File system – defines the exchange of large data blocks such as programs.

j) Tracking – provides a diagnosis interface to track services (control, configuration, exchange).

IEC 61850-7-3: Common Data Classes

Figure 3 - Summary of IEC 61850-7-3 Evaluation

Additional information about the standard IEC 61850-7-3 from IEC TC 57

The part 7-3 of the standard IEC 61850 specifies constructed attribute classes and common data classes (CDC) related to substation applications:

- common data classes for status information,
- common data classes for measured information,
- common data classes for control,
- common data classes for status settings,
- common data classes for analogue settings and
- attribute types used in these common data classes.

This part of the standard IEC 61850 also defines semantic for data attributes, controllable parameters and in some cases for data used in Clause 7 where the CDC is defined. Data attribute semantic is defined in a table form.

The IEC 61850-7-3 is applicable to the description of device models and functions of substations and feeder equipment. This standard may also be applied, for example, to describe device models and functions for:

- substation to substation information exchange,
- substation to control centre information exchange,
- power plant to control centre information exchange,
- information exchange for distributed generation, or
- information exchange for metering.

IEC 61850-7-4: Compatible logical node classes and data classes

Figure 4 - Summary of IEC 61850-7-4 Evaluation

Additional information about the standard IEC 61850-7-4 from IEC TC 57

The part 7-4 of the standard IEC 61850 specifies the information model of devices and functions generally related to common use regarding applications in systems for power utility automation. It also contains the information model of devices and function-related applications in substations. In particular, it specifies the compatible logical node (LN) names and data object names for communication between intelligent electronic devices (IED). This includes the relationship between logical nodes and data objects.

The logical node names and data object names defined in IEC 61850-7-4 are part of the class model introduced in IEC 61850-7-1 and defined in IEC 61850-7-2. The names defined in this document are used to build the hierarchical object references applied for communicating with IEDs in systems for power utility automation and, especially, with IEDs in substations and on distribution feeders. The naming conventions of IEC 61850-7-2 are applied in this part. To avoid private, incompatible extensions, this part specifies normative naming rules for multiple instances and private, compatible extensions of logical node (LN) classes and data object names. Any definition is based on IEC 61850 or on referenced well identified public documents.

IEC 61850-7-4 is applicable to describe device models and functions of substation and feeder equipment. The concepts defined in this standard are also applied to describe device models and functions for:

- substation-to-substation information exchange,
- substation-to-control centre information exchange,
- power plant-to-control centre information exchange,
- information exchange for distributed generation,
- information exchange for distributed automation, or
- information exchange for metering.

The groups of logical nodes defined in IEC 61850-7-4 are ordered according to some semantic meaning (for convenience, the logical nodes are defined in this standard in alphabetical order): systems LNs - L, interface LNs - I, unit/bay level LNs - C, P, R, process/equipment level LNs - K, S, X, T, Y, Z, general use LNs - G, F.

IEC 61850-7-410: Hydroelectric Power Plants - Communication for monitoring and control

Figure 5 - Summary of IEC 61850-7-410 Assessment

Additional information about the standard IEC 61850-7-410 from IEC TC 57

The part 7-410 of the standard IEC 61850 specifies the information model of devices and functions generally related to common use regarding applications in systems for power utility automation. In particular, it specifies the logical nods (LN) and data objects of these LNs (belonging to the so called Common Data Classes - CDC) which are dedicated for data communication modelling between devices in hydroelectric power plants. It also specifies semantics of the data object attributes .

The logical node and data object names defined in IEC 61850-7-410 are part of the class model introduced in IEC 61850-7-1 and defined in IEC 61850-7-2. The names defined in this document are used to build the hierarchical object references applied for communicating with devices in hydroelectric power plants.

The standard IEC 61850-7-410 contains:

1. Definitions of Logical Nodes classes which are not included in IEC 61850-7-4. These definitions are divided into the following groups:

- Group A Automatic functions;
- Group F Functional blocks;
- Group H Hydropower specific logical nodes;
- Group I Interface and archiving;
- Group K Mechanical and non-electrical primary equipment;
- Group P Protection functions;
- Group R Protection related functions;
- Group S Supervision and monitoring;
- Group X Switchgear.

2. Definitions of Common Data Classes which are not included in IEC 61850-7-3.

3. Descriptions of data attribute semantics (for the attributes which are not described in IEC 61850-7-3 and IEC 61850-7-2).

IEC 61850-7-420: Communications systems for Distributed Energy Resources (DER) - Logical nodes

Figure 6 - Summary of IEC 61850-8 Assessment

Additional information about the standard IEC 61850-7-420 Edition 1.0 2009-03 from The International Electrotechnical Commission IEC

The specific standard addresses the IEC 61850 modelling for DER. One of the main features of the standard is that it is fully compatible with the Common Information Model (CIM) concepts. Also, it is complementary to a wider set of standards covering substation automation (IEC 61850-7-4) and large hydroelectric plants (IEC 61850-7-410). As a matter of fact IEC 61850-7-420 makes use of existing logical nodes from IEC 61850-7-4 where applicable and defines new logical nodes which are DER-specific. The hierarchical structure of the data in this standard includes Logical Nodes organised under specific Logical Devices. Since different applications require different combinations of LNs, LDs cannot be directly defined. However, LNs can be defined as groupings of Data Objects. The latter consist of Common Data Classes made up from Common Attributes. Exact definitions of all the above mentioned elements are provided by the accompanying standards IEC 61850-7-3 and 4. The LNs for DER contain some items that are either mandatory, optional or conditional and follow a specific naming structure (based on IEC 61850-7-2 Ed. 2).

Additional information about the standard IEC 61850-7-420 Edition 1.0 2009-03 from The International Electrotechnical Commission IEC

The LNs in the standard are organised in groups according to their purpose of use, thus including System Logical Nodes (e.g. physical device information LNs) and DER Management LNs. Of note logical nodes with high relevance to the ELECTRA UCs are the LN for DER energy and/or ancillary services schedule (DSCH).

IEC 61850-8-1: Mappings to MMS (ISO/IEC9506-1 and ISO/IEC 9506-2)

Reference documentation

IEC 61850-90-2: Use of IEC 61850 for the communication between control centres and substations

Figure 8 - Summary of IEC 61850-90-2 Assessment

Additional information about the standard IEC 61850-90-2 from IEC TC 57

The technical report IEC 61850-90-2 provides a comprehensive overview of the matters that need to be considered in order to use IEC 61850 for information exchange between substations and control or maintenance systems. It provides a comprehensive overview of the different aspects that need to be considered while using IEC 61850 for information exchange between substations and control or maintenance centres or other system level applications. In particular, this technical report:

- defines use cases and communication requirements that require an information exchange between substations and control or maintenance centres
- describes the usage of the configuration language of IEC 61850-6
- gives guidelines for the selection of communication services and architectures compatible with IEC 61850
- describes the engineering workflow
- introduces the use of a Proxy/Gateway concept
- describes the links regarding the Specific Communication Service Mapping (SCSM).

The scope of this technical report is limited to two interfaces. The first interface represents as telecontrol interface the communication of the substation automation system to the remote control centre(s). The second Interface represents as telemonitoring interface the communication to remote engineering, monitoring and maintenance places.

The report does not define constraints or limitations for specific device implementations. There is no specific chapter for cyber security which is tackled when it is necessary. The model, for IEC TR 61850-90-2, provides security functions based upon the security threats and security functions found in IEC TS 62351.

Beneath information authentication and integrity, information availability is an important aspect for telecontrol. The technical report IEC 61850-90-2 provides redundancy architectures to enhance the availability of information in control and maintenance centres.

IEC 61850-90-5: Use of IEC 61850 to transmit synchrophasor information according to IEEE C37.118

Additional information about the standard IEC 61850-90-5 from IEC TC 57

The technical report IEC TR 61850-90-5 describes exchanging synchrophasor data between PMUs (Phasor Measurement Units), PDCs (Phasor Data Concentrators), and between control center applications. Synchrophasor data is measured and calculated by PMUs and transmitted to control centers via PDCs. The synchrophasor measurement and communication can also be used within a substation such as for synchrocheck or substation level state estimation.

The synchrophasors and related message formats to transmit synchrophasor data over long distances are defined in IEEE C37.118 standard. Even though the communication according to IEEE C37.118 has proven to be usable and work well, there is a desire to have a communication mechanism that is compliant to the concept of IEC 61850. The technical report IEC TR 61850-90-5 lays out how this shall be done.

The report IEC TR 61850-90-5 describes the possible application of the synchrophasor technology solutions by the usage of use cases (wide area applications utilizing synchrophasors, synchro-check, adaptive relaying, out-of-step protection, situational awareness, state estimation and on-line security assessment, wide area controls like special protection schemes, predictive dynamic stability maintaining system, under voltage load shedding).

The report also introduces the gateway approach for synchrophasor transmission between different locations. It also contains a comprehensive security model. Several aspects of security are addressed within the report with the following basic assumptions:

- Information authentication and integrity (e.g. the ability to provide tamper detection) is needed.
- Confidentiality is optional.

The available command services are described according to services defined in IEEE C37.118 standard.

The report defines also communication profiles (the Application profile and the Transport profile) including the IEC TR 61850-90-5 Session Protocol. To support defined profiles some extensions to the IEC 61850 standard are needed. They are described by using the SCL (XML) format.

IEC 61850-90-7: Object models for power converters in distributed energy resources (DER) systems

IEC 61968-9: Interface Standard for Meter Reading & Control

IEC 61968-11: Common Information Model (CIM) Extensions for Distribution

Figure 12 - Summary if IEC 61968-11 Assessment

IEC 61968-13: Common Information Model (CIM) RDF Model exchange format for distribution

Figure 13 - Summary of IEC 61968-13 Assessment

Additional information about the standard IEC 61968-13 Ed.1 from IEC

The current activity related to IEC 61968-13 update process, is considering the following use cases:

- DSO provides its power system models to TSO
- A customer provides its DER plant power models to DSO
- DSO 1 and 2 mutual exchange their power system models
- TSO and DSO provides their power system models to a third party
- DSO/DNO internal information exchange
- DNOs provide their power system models to DSO

IEC 61968-100: Implementation profiles

Figure 14 - Summary of IEC 61968-100 Assessment

Additional information about the standard IEC 61968-100 from IEC TC57 WG 14

International Standard IEC 61968-100 has been prepared by IEC technical committee 57: Power systems management and associated information exchange.

This part of the IEC 61968 standard and specifies an implementation profile for the application of the other parts of 61968 using common integration technologies, including JMS and web services. Guidance is also provided with respect to the use of Enterprise Service Bus (ESB) technologies. This provides a means to derive interoperable implementations of IEC 61968 parts 3 through 9. At the same time, this standard can be leveraged beyond information exchanges defined by IEC 61968.

The scope of the IEC 61968-100 specifically includes the following:

- Integration patterns that support IEC 61968 information exchanges
- Design of interfaces for use of strongly typed web services
- Design of interfaces for use of generically typed web services
- Design of interfaces using JMS
- Definition of standard design artefacts and related templates
- Recognition that technologies other than JMS and web services may be used for integration leveraging this standard (with some specific examples and associated recommendations described in appendices)

IEC 61970-452: CIM model exchange specification

NAME

Figure 15 - Summary of IEC 61970-452 Assessment

Additional information about the standard IEC 61970-452 from IEC

This standard is one of the IEC 61970 series that define an application program interface (API) for an energy management system (EMS).

The IEC 61970-3x series of documents specify a Common Information Model (CIM). The CIM is an abstract model that represents all of the major objects in an electric utility enterprise typically needed to model the operational aspects of a utility. It provides the semantics for the IEC 61970 APIs specified in the IEC 61970- 4x series of Component Interface Standards (CIS). The IEC 61970-3x series includes IEC 61970-301: Common Information Model (CIM) base and draft standard IEC 61970-302: Common Information Model

Additional information about the standard IEC 61970-452 from IEC

(CIM) Financial, EnergyScheduling, and Reservation.

This standard is one of the IEC 61970-4x series of Compoment Interface Standards that specify the functional requirements for interfaces that a component (or application) shall implement to exchange information with other components (or applications) and/or to access publicly available data in a standard way. The component interfaces describe the specific message contents and services that can be used by applications for this purpose. The implementation of these messages in a particular technology is described in 61970-5. This standard specifies the specific profiles (or subsets) of the CIM for exchange of static power system data between utilities, security coordinators and other entities participating in a interconnected power system, such that all parties have access to the modelling of their neighbour's systems that is necessary to execute state estimation or power flow applications.

Currently only one profile, the Equipment Profile, has been defined. A companion standard, 61970-552, defines the CIM XML Model Exchange Format based on the Resource Description Framework (RDF) Schema specification language which is recommended to be used to transfer power system model data for the 61970-452 profile

IEC 61970-456: CIM model exchange specification

Figure 16 - Summary of IEC 61970-456

Additional information about the standard IEC 61970-456 from IEC

This standard is one of several parts of the IEC 61970 series that defines common information model (CIM) datasets exchanged between application programs in energy management systems (EMS).

The IEC 61970-3xx series of documents specify the common information model (CIM). The CIM is an abstract model that represents the objects in an electric utility enterprise typically needed to model the operational aspects of a utility.

This standard is one of the IEC 61970-4xx series of component interface standards that specify the semantic structure of data exchanged between components (or applications) and/or made publicly available data by a component. This standard describes the payload that would be carried if applications are communicating via a messaging system, but the standard does not include the method of exchange, and therefore is applicable to a variety of exchange implementations. This standard assumes and recommends that the exchanged data is formatted in XML based on the resource description framework (RDF) schema as specified in 61970-552 CIM XML model exchange standard.

IEC 61970-456 specifies the profiles (or subsets) of the CIM required to describe a steady state solution of a power system case, such as is produced by power flow or state estimation applications. It describes the solution with reference to a power system model that conforms to IEC 61970-452 in this series of related standards. (Thus solution data does not repeat the power system model information.) IEC 61970-456 is made up of several component profiles that describe: topology derived from switch positions, measurement input (in the case of state estimation), and the solution itself.

As CIM evolves the base model described in IEC 61970-301 and the profiles (e.g. IEC 61970-452 and 61970- 456) are updated. The relation between the documents and IEC 61970 CIM versions is shown below

- CIM14, IEC 61970-301 Ed. 4, IEC 61970-452 Ed. 1, IEC 61970-456 Ed. 1
- CIM15, IEC 61970-301 Ed. 5, IEC 61970-452 Ed. 2, IEC 61970-456 Ed. 1 Amendment 1
- CIM16, IEC 61970-301 Ed. 6, IEC 61970-452 Ed. 3, IEC 61970-456 Ed. 2

IEEE Standard C37.118.2-2011: Synchrophasor Data Transfer for Power Systems

Figure 17 - Summary of IEEE C37.118.2-2011 Assessment

Additional information about the standard IEEE Std. C37.118.2-2011 from IEEE Power and Energy Society

The standard IEEE C37.118.2-2011 defines data transmission formats for real-time data reporting for synchronized phasor measurements used in electric power systems.

In particular the standard contains:

- background for synchrophasor measurement.
- description of the synchrophasor measurement system.
- definition of the real-time communication protocol and message formats.

Additionally six informative annexes are provided to clarify the standard and give supporting information:

- Annex A is a bibliography.
- Annex B gives information about cyclic redundancy codes and the cyclic redundancy check (CRC) required by this standard.
- Annex C provides background in communication bandwidth.
- Annex D illustrates the message formats defined in Clause 6 with complete message examples.
- Annex E defines message mapping into standard communication protocols.
- Annex F discusses synchrophasor communications methods for Internet Protocol (IP).

A simple structure of a synchrophasor network consists of the PMUs (Phasor Measurement Units) and PDCs (Phasor Data Concentrators).

A PMU is a function or logical device that provides synchrophasor and system frequency estimates, as well as other optional information such as calculated megawatts (MW) and megavars (MVAR), sampled measurements, and Boolean status words. The PMU may provide synchrophasor estimates from one or more voltage or current waveforms. The PMU can be realized as a stand-alone physical device or as a part of a multifunction device such as a protective relay, DFR, or meter.

A PDC works as a node in a communication network where synchrophasor data from a number of PMUs or PDCs is correlated and fed out as a single stream to the higher level PDCs and/or applications. The PDC correlates synchrophasor data by time tag to create a system wide measurement set.

If multiple intelligent electronic devices (IEDs) in a substation provide synchrophasor measurements, a local PDC may be deployed in the substation. Typically, many PMUs located at various key substations gather data and send it in real time to a PDC at the utility location where the data is aggregated. The data collected by PDCs may be used to support many applications, ranging from visualization of information and alarms for situational awareness, to ones that provide sophisticated analytical, control, or protection functionality. Applications, such as dynamics monitoring, use full-resolution real-time data along with grid models to support both operating and planning functions. The application displays locally measured frequency, primary voltages, currents, real and reactive power flows, and other quantities for system operators.

OASIS EMIX: Energy Market Information Exchange

Figure 18 - Summary of EMIX Assessment

Additional information about the standard EMIX from OASIS

FROM:

https://www.qualitylogic.com/tuneup/uploads/docfiles/TC_OpenADR_Roles_and_Relationshipsv107.pdf

EMIX is intended for commercial transactions in all types of energy markets. Transactions start with a tender, which is an offer to buy or sell between two parties. Once agreement is reached, parties agree to a transaction, which is a contract or award. The parties to the transaction then perform by arranging for supply, transport, consumption, settlement, and payment. The EMIX standard provides a generalized information model for the tenders and transactions. EMIX also supports energy options, which is an instrument that gives the buyer the right, but not the obligation, to buy or sell a product at a set price during a given time window.

It is best to think of EMIX as a tool box that can be utilized to construct energy products for a given market and need. The tools include inheritance methods to efficiently communicate the same product being delivered in different time frames (gluons, sequences), methods to describe standard terms and market expectations (market context), and methods to express the source of energy or its environmental characteristics (warrants).

The EMIX standard defines a set of extensions specific to representing power products with support for characteristics such as real, apparent, or reactive power, as well as ways to describe levels and tiers. These extensions are used in the standard to define the following detailed EMIX product descriptions:

- Power products that are bought under terms that specify the energy and its rate of delivery over a duration, or made available for up to the maximum deliverable by the in-place infrastructure

- Resource offers that include characteristics of generators, storage resources, and loads that produce power through curtailment, as well as the prices and quantities of products/services offered

- Transport products provide for the transport of a product using transmission and distribution facilities from one location to another. Transport pricing includes factors such as energy loses and congestion prices.

Each of these products supports a wide variety of transaction types such as Full

Requirements Power, Transport Services, and Demand Charges.

ENTSO-E MADES: Market Data Exchange Standard

Figure 19 - Summary of MADES Assessment

List of interactions

On the base of the work made by the Task 4.2 on use cases the following mapping by interaction and standards can be suggested:

Legend: In-Scope

In-scope, from other UC

Observables/Actuators

Emulated

Disclaimer

The ELECTRA project is co-funded by the European Commission under the $7th$ Framework Programme 2013.

The sole responsibility for the content of this publication lies with the authors. It does not necessarily reflect the opinion of the European Commission.

The European Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.